

617 Joanne Lee - Corritos

Open LD Round 3 - 1:30pm FLIGHT: B

Room: MAT B

2014 SCJFL March 2014 League Debate

Round Start:

Ballot Due:

Affirmative: La Reina High School ES -

Negative: Blaine Academy - OR

Name

Points
(30-15)

Name

Points
(30-15)

Elena Sevoda

30

Olina Kim

29

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win?

yes

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

The neg was able to defend her position more strongly and ~~reported her evidence~~ used more evidence to back it up.

636 Jong Hak Won - Nova 42

Open LD Round 3 - 1:30pm FLIGHT: B

Room: EAST Chem B

2014 SCJPL March 2014 League Debate

Round Start:

Ballot Due:

Affirmative: La Reina High School RF

Negative: Carritos - TO

Name

Points
(30:15)

Name

Points
(30:15)

Rose Parthen

1 26

Ted On

2 24

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Both sides utilize a utilitarian based framework, so I defaulted to that. I voted aff for a few reasons. First off, the neg dropped three aff contentions that the aff properly extended. Second, the neg dropped their own contentions. In the end, the affirmative wins because they won the point about jobs and how resource extraction will lead to diversity loss and extinction.

Aff - (Crae)

Neg - (Ted)

- Good line of questioning concerning trees in CA, but I felt that you didn't elaborate on that in your speech

- Don't bring up new arguments in your 2nd speech
- Make sure you have enough time in your 1st speech to lay your case and cover your opponent's

632 Sophie Pielstick - Kudos

Open LD Round 3 - 1:30pm FLIGHT: B

Room: EAST Chem A

2014 SCJFL March 2014 League Debate

Round Start:

Ballot Due:

Affirmative: Academy of Higher Learning YP - Negative: Magnet Academy - AH

Name

Points
(30-15)

Name

Points
(30-15)

Yoo-Lyn Pak

28.5

Alisa Hsieh

25.5

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

AFF.

NEG.

AFF won
on flow
value~~make eye contact~~

- make eye contact
- you have promise. most debaters in high school league are not as good as you. keep with this!

- "If I provide an example, will that take down your subpoint B?" - make me laugh

- you have a strong case & I really liked your cards. they rebutted all of her points

- ask stronger questions
- make more eye contact as well
- your case could've been really strong, but work on delivery.
- be sure to connect your points to value.
- you have promise as a debater! keep up the good work.

631 Sophie Pielstick - Kudos

Open LD Round 3 - 1:30pm FLIGHT: A

Room: EAST Chem A

2014 SCJFL March 2014 League Debate

Round Start:

Ballot Due:

Affirmative: Magnet Learning Academy GS -

Negative: AoHL - JK

Name

Points
(30-15)

Name

Points
(30-15)

Gwen Sheen

1

27

Jesse Kim

2

25

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

AFC had stronger case

NEG

Bring your own timer!
I've heard this case before

Write your own case
next time. ~~write~~

Also, Contention 1 shouldn't
be your ~~main~~ framework.

Just a stylistic

thing. Did not

affect my decision.

good responses to questions.

I like your cards. they

suit your case and

~~bad as a lot of~~~~be sure to include~~

definitions

I didn't understand North Korea
argument - expand + connect

China wasn't best example for
good things happening when extort
is prioritized, but I understood
what you meant.

Ask stronger questions.

you had good arguments.

I like your contention 1.

argument against general
warfare was good + made
a lot of sense.

you only included 3rd
contention in end of
debate.

Moving to Mars shouldn't
be your solution to global warming

Page 2023, Created Sat 1:30 pm

P.S. I like when people ask how their
advice is helpful how their advice is helpful
makes me laugh.

623

Sally Kang Kudos

Open LD Round 3 - 1:30pm FLIGHT: B

Room: IDEA B

2014 SCJFL March 2014 League Debate

Round Start:

Ballot Due:

Affirmative: UNI Institute EP -

Negative: Magnet Academy - DL

Name

Points
(30-15)

Name

Points
(30-15)

Eunice Park

1 29.5

Danywa Liraye

2 28

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

This was hard since neg "won" the framework since aff never answered, but the framework technically boiled down to who saves more lives, I had to vote aff since the aff won on the impacts.

THIS WAS SO CLOSE!
Good job though!

619

Sally Kang - Kudos

Open LD Round 3 - 1:30pm FLIGHT: A

Room: IDEA B

2014 SCJFL March 2014 League Debate

Round Stage

Ballot Due:

Affirmative: Ridgecrest Intermediate School
MT

Negative: Magnet Academy - JF

Name

Points
(30-15)

Name

Points
(30-15)**C**

Most Sng Deal

30

James Yin *

21.5

Above, **CIRCLE** the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Kudos ☺
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

In the end, I had to vote Aff because the Aff won the value/criterion debate and voted under those. Aff also had more impacts than they extended.

BUT YOU BOTH DID REALLY WELL!

Best round I watched so far!!!



p.s. you're both so cute ☺

599

Willie Washington - Nova

42

Open Pub-Forum Round 3 - 1:30pm

FLIGHT: A

Room: EAST BLDGS 409

2014 SCJFL March 2014 League Debate

Round Start: 1:30pm

Ballot Due: ASAP

Affirmation: ~~New Way Charter School~~
New Way Charter School ASMTNeg: ~~UNI - CKNR~~
UNI - CKNR

Pos Name RK (1-4) Points (30-15)

3 Aedra Simoni 4 25

1 Marisol Toro 3 25.5

Pos Name RK (1-4) Points (30-15)

4 Chris Kim 1 26.5

2 Nicole Kim 2 24

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? NO

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Fairmont Preparatory Academy
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

FLIP FOR SIDES!

1st speakers (both sides) - work on developing crossfire skills;
stop asking "may I ask a question?" - take turns, but assert yourselves.2nd speakers (both sides) - focus on YOUR OPPONENT'S CASE.
you both spent most of the time re-stating your case.
I need to see more CLASH, more evidence, and clearer refutations.Both of you did NOT mention framework.
need to use evidence to support refutations.
PRO - constitutional issue won by PRO, supported w/ consequence & proportion.
CON - needs to crystallize analogies - started out well, but clarify.CON - work on improving the connection w/o your
CON - Conceded in cross-ex that Supreme Court argued constitutionality.
is needed to highlight impacts of world - to see 4 & PROVE it is constitutional.
I need: 4 impacts 4 weighing
more evidence in clarification

BOTH TEAMS: STOP REPEATING YOUR CASES.

Show talk to the other
2nd speakerDon't focus on crossfire is NOT the main focus
so much as the crossfire of the debate

637 ~~Matt Conrad~~ - La Reina

Open LD Round 3 - 1:30pm FLIGHT: B

Room: EAST Physics

2014 SCJFL March 2014 League Debate

Round Start:

Ballot Due:

Affirmative: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership VB -

Negative: Cerritos - BC

Name

Points
(10-15)

Name

Points
(10-15)

Vincent Bough

27

only

Brandon Choi

20.5

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

WTF - Cialization - daypost NEG - make sure that all evidence
cards are tagged

my wins for better
linked argument and
more organization
to debater

630 **Matt Conrad - La Reina**

Open LD Round 3 - 1:30pm FLIGHT: A

Room: EAST Physics

2014 SCJFL March 2014 League Debate

Round Start:

Ballot Due:

Affirmative: Academy of Higher Learning BK - Negative: Kudos - CC

Name

Points
(30-15)

Name

Points
(30-15)

AC Brandon Kim

27

CC Courtney Choi

28

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

AFF - Good speech - well read
 Reason - the reason carter
 at least is name
 =
 should change

new argument

Excellent presentation

NEG - cross ex. new progression
 re statement of evidence is a
 responsible response

Franny silence as young is good.

consistent links

Neg wins Car better
 case organization
 =
 argumentation

625 Scott McKeever - Magnet Academy

Open LD Round 3 - 1:30pm FLIGHT: B

Room: MY LH

2014 SCJFL March 2014 League Debate

Round Start:

Ballot Due:

Affirmative: Honor Academy GY -

Negative: AcHL - CK

Name	Points (30-15)	Name	Points (30-15)
George Yoon	2nd 24	Christopher Koh	1st 27

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

Scott McKeeverMagnet Academy

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

George

I feel that you were very nervous in the round. You are very intelligent, and your responses were quite excellent. Don't look so nervous. You got this.

Christopher

You did a good job with coverage in round. Answer your time allocation was not issue.

I also felt that you stumbled over your own reasoning a bit during some of your analysis.

RFD

I voted NEG because of the Drops...

620 Scott McKeever - Magnet Academy

Open LD Round 3 - 1:20pm FLIGHT: A

Room: MT LH

2014 SCJFL March 2014 League Debate

Round Start:

Ballot Due:

Affirmative: Nova 42 Academy KH -

Negative: RUS - IG

Name	Points (30-15)	Name	Points (30-15)
Kyruhan	2nd 26	Ishan Gour	1st 29

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? 10

Scott McKeeverMagnet Academy

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Kyruhan

I found your speeches compelling but your coverage was not as complete as was needed.

Ishan

Try to score less just annoyed with your opponent.

Prep Time

Feel free to tell me how much time you plan on using, but your prep starts as soon as ex cards.

RFD

I voted NEG, because the NEG did a better job covering the voters and answering your opponents.

629

Eric Garcia - Magnet Academy

Open LD Round 3 - 1:30pm FLIGHT: B

Room: MS LH

2014 SCJFL March 2014 League Debate

Round Start:

Ballot Due:

Affirmative: Honor Academy WC 230

Negative: RIS AC 236

Name

Points
(10-15)

Name

Points
(10-15)

William Chang

26

Allen Chan

27

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

NR: I would like more clash on neg case, against the Tasmania ex, and ^{Chad} ~~Scott~~ experience, then extend your original evidence and go to voters. On Aff case use the NC tag lines for your original arguments on the aff case. Otherwise, I'm not sure what you want me to extend.

ZAR: I'm not sure what I'm extending or what contention you're refuting w/ the new disease evidence. If it's on the framework, that was already dropped. Hobbes, also, is a new extension ~~for~~ used as refutation.

RFD: Neg is winning the likelihood and time frame of poverty's impacts. Also, although war is serious, the Neg harms are more frequent and ^{likely} ~~guaranteed~~.

Both debaters did well, but need to use more prior tag lines in rebuttals.

621

Eric Garcia - Magnet Academy

Open LD Round 3 - 1:30pm FLIGHT: A

Room: MS LH

2014 SCJFL March 2014 League Debate

Round Start:

Ballot Due:

Affirmative: Kados College of Youth Leadership BC - 1:15

Negative: La Reina - HB 1:34

Name

Points (30-15)

Name

Points (30-15)

Brian Chang

26

Hansuhyun Baethel

27

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

E. J. J.

Magnet

NC: A very thorough job on the clash. If you can use the left over 2 minutes to heap on more variety of args on AFF case, that would make the NR easier.

I thought NR was going to wrap up ~~my~~ my ballot quickly b/c there was 2min to defend neg case from a mere 2-1AR responses. Skipping the clash and going right to the voters makes this a tougher debate to decide. Problem is that 2AR doesn't extend his mitigation on NEG's C1. 2ARs only extending is on NEG's C2 to help econ. Thus I have some Hm rts. Solvenry from Neg's C1. It also lines up well along the only criterion of development.

Since Neg case claims development and AFF claims prot. species (sort of) with protection. I vote NEG off the strict criteria.

622 Jeffrey Kang - Cerritos

Open LD Round 3 - 1:30pm FLIGHT: B

Room: MAT A

2014 SCJFL March 2014 League Debate

Round Start:

Ballot Due:

Affirmative: Nova 42 Academy TL -

Negative: Magnet Academy - NY

Name

Points
(30-15)

Name

Points
(30-15)

Name

27

Name

26

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

I choose the ~~affirmative~~ side b/c of upholding value & value criterion. The benefits and harms is tied in with the value while the NEG failed to link it well. Both did well though.

624

614

Tiya Basilio - AofHL

Open LD Round 3 - 1:30pm FLIGHT: X A

Room: IDEA E

2014 SCJFL March 2014 League Debate

Round Start:

Ballot Due:

Affirmative: ~~Magnet Learning Academy AR~~
KudosNegative: ~~Academy of Higher Learning~~

Name	Points (30-15)	Name	Points (30-15)
Aff Tanya Yang	LOSS 2+	Neg Chris Lee	WIN 27.5

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

Tiya Basilio
JUDGE'S SIGNATUREAcademy of Higher Learning
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

AC- You should always stand up during speeches and crossfire for maximum speaker points. Use all time allotted.

NC/1NR- Good that you spend time on value debate. Good speaking but try not to fidget or say "stuff" during debate. Good use of card during cross-X. Good pointing out morality & Util are some times incompatible.

Switch

1AR- Stop dancing. Use gestures to stop fidgeting.

2NR- Good pointing out ^{out}polluting cause diseases. Good link back to V/C combo. How does economy link to "morality"? Good pointing out Resolution does not say get rid of resource extraction however your case does not provide solvency.

Switch

2AR- Good pointing out future generations. Extend resource curse & be clear how it applies.

* I wrote comments on the wrong side
Switch comments where it applies.

634

Tiya Basilio - AofHL

Open LD Round 3 - 1:30pm FLIGHT: X B

Room: IDEA E

2014 SCJFL March 2014 League Debate

Round Start:

Ballot Due:

Affirmative: ~~Resolved: The world is a better place with~~~~Resolved: The world is a better place with~~ MagnetNegative: ~~Resolved: The world is a better place with~~

Kudos

Name

Points
(30-15)

Name

Points
(30-15)AFF. Ajinkya Rane

WIN

26

NEG. Britney Shaw

LOSS

24

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

Tiya Basilio
JUDGE'S SIGNATUREAcademy of Higher Learning
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

AC - Use all the time allotted to ~~rebuttal~~ give your opponent more to rebuttal. Use all of your time during cross-X to get point across to judge. Think of major issue you want to get across and use that to guide cross-X.

NC/INR - Should have a point to get across to judge during cross-X. Use all time to your benefit. Good explanation of progress value. Using laptop during debate may be convenient but does not help speaker ~~make~~ points. Using gestures and eye contact much more effective than balancing laptop or crouching down to read. Sovereignty link is weak.

IAR - Good addressing value debate. Sovereignty link to points should be addressed. You had a minute left. Use evidence in rebuttals.

2NR - You should use evidence to rebut global warming. Also, more focus on corruption & violence is needed. You have 3 minutes left. It could have been used much more effectively. Never end a speech with, "And that is all I have to say." End every speech with why your value is upheld.

2AR - In last speech point out any point or evidence that has been dropped, then extend on why it matters.

628 Sean McKeever - Magnet Academy

Open LD Round 3 - 1:30pm FLIGHT: II

Room: IDEA F

2014 SCJFL March 2014 League Debate

Round Start:

Ballot Due:

Affirmative: Ridgecrest Intermediate School
SP:

Negative: La Reina - EC

Name	Points (30-15)	Name	Points (30-15)
Sue Park	27.5	Kristen Chen	25.5

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ☒

Sean McKeever

Magnet

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Reasons: 1. Aff went against judging pref's.
2. Negs moral was more specific
"Morality" as a moral is kind of redundant.
Besides that Aff did better with the
lesser arguments

626 Sean McKeever - Magnet Academy

Open LD Round 3 - 1:30pm FLIGHT: A

Room: IDEA F

2014 SCJFL March 2014 League Debate

Round Start:

Ballot Due:

Affirmative: Honor Academy DR -

Negative: Kudos - JJ

Name	Points (30:15)	Name	Points (30:15)
Daniel Roth	26.5	Justin Jiang	29

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

Sean McKeever

Magnet

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Reason: Really everything came down to moral and crit. I felt the affirmative's "power link to life" argument didn't make sense, so I had to give it to Neg.

616 Joanne Lee - Cerritos

Open LD Round 3 - 1:30pm FLIGHT: A

Room: MAT B

2014 SCJFL March 2014 League Debate

Round Start:

Ballot Due:

Affirmative: Academy of Higher Learning KB - Negative: Magnet Academy - MH

Name	Points (30-15)	Name	Points (30-15)
Khai Berry	27.5	Mai Hirano	22.5

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Neg did not refute all of aff's case

Neg conceded extraction is bad in CX

Aff weighed values and answered neg's arguments

