

5

Brain Ha - ELAC

Open Big Questions R1 - 9:00 FLIGHT: B

Room: E3 2572018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament**Round Start: 9AM****Ballot Due: 11AM, but the sooner, the better!****Affirmative:** Perfect Score Academy DH**Negative:** Perfect Score Academy JK

Name	Points (30-1)	Name	Points (30-1)
Danny Hong	<u>L</u> <u>27</u>	Joseph Kim	<u>W</u> <u>28</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

Dan Ha
JUDGE'S SIGNATUREELAC
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION**Have fun and give students good critiques, please!**

aff.

- Great start but ended a little shaky
- Great points made
- Nice confidence

Neg.

- Shaky start but ended strong
- Strong points on empathy
- Lots of real-world examples

R.F.D Both sides did very well in delivery. Negation won this side due to the fact that real world examples were used that correlated with points made. This made it easier to picture the argument being made.

4

Brain Ha - ELAC

Open Big Questions R1 - 9:00 FLIGHT: A

Room: E3 2572018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament**Round Start: 9AM****Ballot Due: 11AM, but the sooner, the better!****Affirmative:** Perfect Score Academy JK**Negative:** Perfect Score Academy KKNamePoints
(30-1)NamePoints
(30-1)

Jonathan W Kim

W

28

Kaiden Ko

L

25

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Have fun and give students good critiques, please!

aff.

- Good confidence in delivery
- enjoyed the fact you used 2 ways to define self-interest

neg.

- way to attack opponent's definition. Great work!
- nice points regarding depression

R.F.D Both sides did very well when defending their points. However, the affirmation presented himself with more confidence. Furthermore, the negation's point on drugs was hard to follow.

1 **David Reyes - ELAC**

Open LD R1 - 9:00 FLIGHT: A

Room: E3 4572018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament**Round Start: 9AM****Ballot Due: 11AM, but the sooner, the better!****Affirmative:** Kudos College of Youth
Leadership FZ**Negative:** Academy of Higher Learning TSNamePoints
(30-1)NamePoints
(30-1)

____ Franklin Zhu

28

____ Tarun Subramanian

26**Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.**

Low Point Win? ____

David Reyes
JUDGE'S SIGNATUREELAC
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION**Have fun and give students good critiques, please!**AssNegSlow down!!!↳ This will help you
gather your thoughts
andBe careful with how
you word certain
arguments

2 David Reyes - ELAC

Open LD R1 - 9:00 FLIGHT: B

Room: E3 457

2018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 9AM

Ballot Due: 11AM, but the sooner, the better!

Affirmative: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership ML

Name

Points
(30-1)

____ Maxwell Lee

248

Negative: Kudos College of Youth Leadership
IL

Name

Points
(30-1)

____ Ivan Leong

249

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

David Reyes
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Elac
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Have fun and give students good critiques, please!

? ATTENDING TO SOME CORRUPTION?

AFF WINS
X

"I DON'T HAVE A BURDEN TO ADJUST A PLAN"

X - Actual Democracy Threat Democracy.

NEG SENSATIONISM?

CX/13 - AGREE ON FRAMEWORK.
- ENGAGE MORE.

IMMEDIATE POLITICAL ENGAGEMENT - SHOULD CANDIDATE INFO
BE DISCLOSED?

NEG TO "OFF-TIME ROADMAP"
REFUTAL
LACK.

"SHE DOESN'T HAVE A
BURDEN?"
MAYBE DOESN'T
HAVE A BURDEN.

CIVIL ENGAGEMENT CO HIGH.

WHERE IS THE LINK BETWEEN
THE TWO?

DON'T MAKE

AGREEMENT THAT.

NEG CLARIFY ARGUMENT - GO ON TO CASE.

PUBLIC'S RIGHT TO KNOW HARBAI THREAT

ENGAGED CITIZENRY.

ONUS IS ON WHO.
WHO IS THE OTHER PERSON?

DISCUSSING VOTING INFORMATION.

"OFF-TIME ROADMAP" - KEY POINTS.

- REPEATED MIMING POINTS.

3

~~HARRY RICHMAN - FLINT PREP~~

Charles Young - ELAC

Open LD R1 - 9:00 FLIGHT: A

Room: E3 255

2018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 9AM

Ballot Due: 11AM, but the sooner, the better!

Affirmative: Kudos College of Youth Leadership TH

Negative: Kudos College of Youth Leadership LJ

Name	Points (30-1)
Ted Hong	29

Name	Points (30-1)
Lily Johnson	26

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Have fun and give students good critiques, please!

IN A DEMOCRACY THE PUBLIC RIGHT TO KNOW
RIGHT TO BE VALUED ABOVE THE RIGHT TO
PRIVACY OF CANDIDATES FOR PUBLIC OFFICE.

I
II

DEMOCRACY DEPENDS ON INFORMED
CITIZENS

"PUBLIC'S RIGHT TO KNOW"
OPENED + TRANSPARENCY + HOW
WORKS BETTER

"INFORMATION IN THE HANDS
OF CITIZENS"

ENGAGED CITIZEN

TRANSPARENCY / DISCLOSURE OF
INFORMATION

CASE - CIVIC ENGAGEMENT -
POWER OF INFORMATION.

- HOW CANDIDATES ACCOUNTABLE

- FOCUS ON ACTION (YES
BUT HOW DOES THAT RELATE
TO INFORMATION)

- OBLIGATION OF CITIZENS IS
TO VOTE OF REPRESENTATIVE.

- SHAME FROM DISCLOSURE
TO CITIZEN ACTION

REITER: ENGAGEMENT IS THE KEY

WHAT SECRETS ARE OK TO KEEP -
NATIONAL SECURITY

- MEDICAL PRIVACY YES.