

73 **Triston Rendon - ELAC**

Open LD R3 - 1:00 FLIGHT: A

Room: E3 557

2018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 1PM

Ballot Due: Before 3PM, please!

Affirmative: Academy of Higher Learning TS

Negative: Kudos College of Youth Leadership
IL

Name	Points (30-1)	Name	Points (30-1)
Tarun Subramanian	2 26	Ivan Leong	1 25

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ☒

 JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

 ELAC
 JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Aff: Utilitarianism and the Constitution

Good argument - could definitely use some work on articulation and effective gestures - confidence will help you argue your points more clearly. Need a bit more clarity with your links - could have been a bit more concise. The political legitimacy rebuttal was a little hard to follow. ~~Right to~~ Free press value is good but needs to follow through more. I agree that the corruption can link to ~~the~~ the resolution in terms of right to privacy - but you both spent a lot of time on it that could've been used better on other points.

Neg: Very solid cross x. Tone and flow is very effective. (ROUND ETIQUETTE: STAY

FOCUSED IN/DURING/BEFORE ROUNDS! Computer connection problem is understandable, but do not talk ~~in~~ during rounds - Professionalism is huge ~~issue~~ Need to be composed through all the speeches. Right to privacy value - political legitimacy

Anonymity is an interesting subpoint. Flow was good and pacing was very effective.

Aff not promoting utilitarianism - good on following through on all rebuttals.

→ In Aff's cross x, you said the anonymity should be for donors (?) a little confusing, especially when arguing for candidates right to privacy. Press has too much power ~~which~~ ^(?) which they can use to influence gov't? Examples - slander/libel - could have stronger ^{examples} ~~points~~ to back up, but interesting point. Adverse effects will also happen in Aff's situation - expanded.

Status Quo as the alternative - again, some would argue that the current status quo is not effective.

74 **Triston Rendon - ELAC**

Open LD R3 - 1:00 FLIGHT: B

Room: E3 5572018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament**Round Start: 1PM****Ballot Due: Before 3PM, please!****Affirmative:** Kudos College of Youth
Leadership FZ**Negative:** Kudos College of Youth Leadership
TH

Name	Points (30-1)	Name	Points (30-1)
Franklin Zhu	<u>1</u> 26	Ted Hong	<u>2</u> 28

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.Low Point Win? ☒

 JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

 ELAC
 JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Aff: Lot of understandability & fluency lost w/ spreading - more content is effective if it is clear - which it is not always when going as fast. Good confidence and pushing through slight flubs. (5:25 - time enough to slow down a bit for clarity). I heard good tags as well as research, but I would've liked more time to process them. Good second cross x, ~~XXXXXXXX~~ could've linked back to your argument a little clearer ~~XXXXXXXXXXXX~~. Good analysis/ offering solutions - only useful information being publicly released - definitely picked up in the second half - a lot clearer. Round etiquette - stay focused, even during opponents prep time - Can talk outside of rounds. (will also tell to other competitors).

Neg: Argument was clear and easy to follow. Some emphasis, but definitely a lot of potential to really use your tone/inflections/volume/etc. to really enhance your points. (5:45 argument, rest for rebuttal). Alternatives - status quo: could be explained better, some would say that the status quo is not effective. Helping by protecting public rights - interesting point - but I think you could have linked back to your own argument a little more clearly. Started very strong and you have a lot of potential, this was a tough round. Slippery slope point was understandable but opponent followed through better.

AC

30K

immigration

trust view

media

confidence in the highest

holds individual

variable

Latin America

sub of corruption

Peru - corruption

corbals

low capital investment

WFO on monthly

complicated abortion

addresses emergency

times legacy on

is what determines

future

conservative think

30K

public will need

information on

higher court

immigration

trust view

media

confidence in the highest

holds individual

variable

Latin America

sub of corruption

Peru - corruption

corbals

low capital investment

WFO on monthly

complicated abortion

addresses emergency

times legacy on

is what determines

7 NC (1NR)

one engagement is defining

- 47% of eligible voters

lowest participation rate

increasing voting turnout

increases democracy

information through

candidate's discharging

But why would

they do so? who

enforces this

public doesn't know

of info. Over countries

public doesn't hold

powerful accountable

half candidates accountable

by releasing info.

- Sanders = super party

- civil activists

- Seattle's local watch

30K

other non

to know though

engagement

will lead to

betters democracy

debate to

engage citizens

now various higher

courts

volunteer plan

local older

minority groups

asking for information

non answers

turn

4 LAR

democracy-based

on who upholds

democracy best

both plans

engaged directly

will make citizens

engaged - but only

now various higher

courts

volunteer plan

local older

minority groups

asking for information

non answers

turn

6 NR (2NR)

upholds democracy

by providing more

engaged citizens

directly leads to

source of power by

the people

compet or at least

they disclose

bad effects on

minority

Clinton lost

decision on education

asking for information

not answers

turn

3 2AR

democracy - before

people rule

people elected

Donald Trump

3 2AR

democracy - before

people rule

people elected

Donald Trump

75

~~Eddie Diaz - ELAC~~

Open LD R3 - 1:00 FLIGHT: A

Room: E3 457

2018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 1PM

Ballot Due: Before 3PM, please!

Affirmative: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership MLNegative: Kudos College of Youth Leadership
LJ

Name	Points (30-1)	Name	Points (30-1)
1 Maxwell Lee	30	2 Lily Johnson	28

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.Low Point Win? ☒

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

RFD: Court justices aren't appointed by people. Had been
shifted on focus for public office, would have been a much
different round.

Rachel Galvan - ELAC

53

~~Harris Fishman -~~
~~Flintridge Prep~~

Open Big Questions R3 - 1:00 FLIGHT: A

Room: E3 ~~451~~ 255

2018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 1PM

Ballot Due: Before 3PM, please!

Affirmative: Perfect Score Academy DH

Negative: Perfect Score Academy JK

Name	Points (30-1)	Name	Points (30-1)
1. Danny Hong	2 29	2. Jonathan W Kim	1. 30

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

Galvan
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

East Los Angeles College
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Please provide feedback!

AFF

- very good about being clear
- 3rd contention did not match resolution

Neg

- really good job going back to definitions and resolution
- Be careful with second contention; suicide doesn't necessarily mean it's not about self-interest.

54

Rachel Galvan - ELAC
~~Harris Fishman -~~
~~Flintridge Prep~~

Open Big Questions R3 - 1:00 FLIGHT: B

Room: E3 451 255

2018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 1PM

Ballot Due: Before 3PM, please!

Affirmative: Perfect Score Academy KK

Negative: Perfect Score Academy JK

Name	Points (30-1)	Name	Points (30-1)
1. Kaiden Ko	2. 28	2. Joseph Kim	1. 29

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
 won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

Galvan
 JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

East Los Angeles College
 JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Please provide feedback!

AFF

- very good
being loud
- Be more
clear about
"everything" is involved
with self-interest

Neg

- good announcing
- work on finding
more motivations
than empathy