

41 Natalie Yates - AofHL

Open Public Forum R2 - 10:00

Room: E3 321

2018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 10AM

Ballot Due: Before 11AM, please!

Flip for sides: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership JLLWFlip for sides: Emerson Community Charter
School MRKC

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
Neg	Jeffrey Liu ^{2nd}	4	29
Neg	Luke Wu ^{1st}	3	28

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
Aff	Marcus Razo ^{2nd}	2	29
Aff	Katie Cohen ^{1st}	1	28

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Please provide helpful feedback on the ballot!

Great debate! I have to agree with the Aff side as they did not right the resolution. and technically Article one grants power to general well being therefore it is considered not should not be the basis that all impacts on Aff be tossed out as it does not support or address the resolution. ^{Neg} gave no solid evidence at the Aff impact being incorrect. Both teams were passionate speakers and excellent arguments but the neg did not really address the spirit of the ~~the~~ resolution or ~~address~~ the intentions and impacts of Aff.

48 Meredith Rose - Flintridge Prep

Open Public Forum R2 - 10:00

Room: E3 431

2018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 10AM

Ballot Due: Before 11AM, please!

Flip for sides: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership DWCL

Flip for sides: Academy of Higher Learning
JLWK

Aff

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
2 nd speaker	Danielle Workman	3	26
4 th speaker	Chloe Leong	1	28

Neg.

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
3 rd speaker	Jaeho Lee	2	27
1 st speaker	Wonjong Ko	4	25

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Please provide helpful feedback on the ballot!

JLWK - defines terms, looks up, organized delivery, comfortable delivery
good rebuttals to criteria of team B, best to rebut
opponents' arguments more directly & emphatically

DWCL - a bit fast in initial delivery, lots of concrete examples, addresses
opp's contentions directly, relaxed & direct, good persuasive
techniques, passionate, argument strays a bit
off-time roadmaps - good idea to offer them

38

Ching-Cheng Chen - Flintridge Prep

Open Public Forum R2 - 10:00

Room: E3 308

2018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 10AM

Ballot Due: Before 11AM, please!

Flip for sides: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership AHDW

Flip for sides: Wilshire Academy DHDN

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
<u>Neg</u>	Austin Hong 2	<u>2</u>	<u>28.5</u>
<u>Neg</u>	Daniel Wu 1	<u>1</u>	<u>29.</u>

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
<u>Aff</u>	Darren Hwang 3	<u>3</u>	<u>28</u>
<u>Aff</u>	Daniel Noh 4	<u>4</u>	<u>27.5</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Flintridge Prep.
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Please provide helpful feedback on the ballot!

Austin's side
Best: Innovation - research is expensive
→ 30% - 60% if price control is in
place return
2. ~~Good~~ Generic is expensive

Daniel
1. Price Control - have RxD
2. Insurance cover the drug
3. Cheap generic drug price
didn't count
4. good counter rate tax

win C2

Darren
1. Price ctrl boosting economy
2. high price - black market
3. PPI. Lieing because they cannot afford drugs
weak challenge, Cx2 1. 3 good
2 not good

Daniel - nervous
1. public sector funds most RxD
2. generic gap.

3. weak 4. reap some points over
4. weak center on generic patent
give other side points to saying
supplying other pp can buy med. outside country

39 **Taehyun Kim - PSA**

Open Public Forum R2 - 10:00

Room: E3 5072018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament**Round Start: 10AM****Ballot Due: Before 11AM, please!****Flip for sides:** Kudos College of Youth
Leadership SGSJ**Flip for sides:** Academy of Higher Learning
JPSJ

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
<u>AF</u>			
	Susie Gu	<u>2</u>	<u>25</u>
<u>AF</u>	Stephanie Jing	<u>3</u>	<u>24</u>

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
<u>NE</u>			
<u>NE</u>	Jun-Woo Pak	<u>4</u>	<u>23</u>
<u>NE</u>	Soren Jensen	<u>1</u>	<u>30</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Please provide helpful feedback on the ballot!NEE

price control

(4:00)

SOREN nice Intro AGD

- price control - Research/inserts
- less quality.

- Shortages - by strict regulation

- impact - Black Markets - by Product.

- OPG is Generic - not helping

- Deductibles - Good eye contact
Excellent Speeds/Strong Argument
Engaging delivery.

Junwoo

- Hard to understand - stuttering, incoherent speech.
- nervous, relax, make eye contacts.

SOREN

- Societal welfare.

- more money into economy - investment.

Junwoo

- need to stop "Ah" sound, unclear mumbling
- Chalm DOWN - Be speed.
more organized!

Framework?

(Failed to address)
NEG'S question.

- Black
- price control doesn't affect generic.
- No Answer.

better price competition

AFSUSIE

(3:38)

- money away from company to people

- need more engaging delivery.

- too much reading, no eye contacts.

- Very monotonous speech

- Stephanie (2:40)

- opioid addiction - injection.

more demands

SUSIE (2:30) overtime

- Bit better delivery.

Stephanie

- Again, need major improvement on delivery

50 Carlo Razo - Emerson

Open Public Forum R2 - 10:00

Room: E3 4552018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament**Round Start: 10AM****Ballot Due: Before 11AM, please!****Flip for sides:** Kudos College of Youth
Leadership DZRG**Flip for sides:** Flintridge Preparatory LKEG

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
<u>Aff</u>	Daniel Zheng	<u>2</u>	<u>27</u>
<u>Aff</u>	Ryan Geng	<u>4</u>	<u>25</u>

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
<u>Neg</u>	Lauren Kim	<u>3</u>	<u>26</u>
<u>Neg</u>	Eliza Gunter	<u>1</u>	<u>28</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Please provide helpful feedback on the ballot!

Eliza
~~Lauren~~ - Good point re. shortage of drugs due to price controls. Made some very good arguments and clarification of your points - be careful about coming across as condescending (it's a tough balance). Excellent job calling out points on procedure (you sounded like a litigator).

Ryan - Try not to sway from one foot to another. Good articulation of points, but when you get stuck I recommend against taking long pauses - keep advancing an argument and a judge might not even notice if you're not making a new point.

Lauren
~~Lauren~~ - Liked your "key ways" breakdown of points you won. Good job calling out the other side's lack of numbers of lives lost.

Daniel - Good argument about drugs being too expensive leading to black market. Great clear voice projection and enunciation. Liked your use of death + taxes + health insurance. Excellent poise and speaking style.

47

TRISTON RENDON ELAC
Khamani Griffin - PSA

Open Public Forum R2 - 10:00

Room: E3 421

2018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 10AM

Ballot Due: Before 11AM, please!

Flip for sides: Flintridge Preparatory GVDC

Flip for sides: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership AAJK

Pos Name

NEG

RK (1-4)

Points
(30-1)

Pos Name

AFF

RK (1-4)

Points
(30-1)3

Gabi Varga

130 ~~Alan Alexander~~ 2

Daniel Choi

2291

Justin Kung

327Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.Low Point Win?

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Please provide helpful feedback on the ballot!

RESOLUTION: The US Fed Govt. should impose price controls on
pharmaceutical companies.

AFF: Good Attn. getter, good confidence! slight fluency breaks but good pushing through. Nice emphasis and very clear - especially on expanding on implication of opioid crisis sub point. Martin Stereli - good point, but approach could have been better. Neg will not agree w/ AFF. argument. Lobbying imposes on individual freedom of speech - interesting point. Competition between big Pharma brands and other Generic brands will improve. Lobbying power is a good argument but needs more research to defend. Black Market rebuttal is a little unclear - doesn't address all of NEG's points about ~~the~~ the Black Market drug issues. Technical stuff - be clear on times - you have your material, also be confident & sure about your time allocations.

NEG: Vaccines cost disincentivization. Great energy, but some emphasis & ~~points~~ points/clarity are compromised by speeding. Black Market - good point & explication. Pace improved towards the end. Price controls increase access to opioids. Great speaking from Gabi - flow is great and understandable. No evidence for lobbying argument seems to be common - I'd like to see a good Aff. for it. Good. 2nd contentions were not refuted - true Daniel - good energy and emphasis - but using it all the time can detract from its effectiveness - vary tone and pacing for more impact. Criteria for both is saving lives, but many points rely on companies being incentivized by ^{profits} ~~money~~, rather than lives. Still good arguments though because you tie the profits to being able to ^{develop} ~~more~~ more lifesaving medications. (?)

- ① Annie - very bold, came out strong - framework - very clear
specific stat.
No eye contact - no engagement w/ judge.
"decline in our economy" - what? Academic
textbook analysis. Too academic, but knowledgeable.
Shld get right into the topic, ~~endure~~ & too
general. Arguments to debate, not tied together.
Shouldn't read "outline" - use transitional phrases.
Lost track of time. AI
- ② Daniel. ~~Also Aff. eye contact~~ Good common analogies - i.e.
firefighter - more creative. Artivist - sophisticated analysis
very clear, good argument. Good use of arg. re insurance,
high deductibles.
Crossfire. good questions to challenge, weaker response b/c
economic analysis too general, not tethered to subject matter.
arguments on social-econ too general.
Aff - good rephrasing of questions - good comebacks.
Annie - good vitality - clear, good body language.
Good question - why won't prices ↓ w/o controls if market
dips.
Isabel generalizes - weaker tone, less confident. Will people buy
med regardless of cost? We know they offend/but/cant. - weak
point.
Maylin - Good presentation - spoke plainly + directly.
good body lang + eye contact. Creative thought + process
(people can't "magically find money" - yes!). Rephrased
contention to reshape arg., diff. w/ generics. Good
framework. Spoke clearly, slowly, persuasive.
Crossfire: asked about AI/hosp. investment, couldn't address
hosp. - "ind. in general."
Maylin both sides tossed around a lot of vague ths w/ no
support as hypotheticals.
Jimmy Annie - ~~no~~ eye contact
a lot of repetition w/o evidence
1. smart 1. cos will lower prices

Martha Cohen

35

Brian Ha - ELAC

Open Public Forum R2 - 10:00

Room: E3 553

2018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 10AM

Ballot Due: Before 11AM, please!

Flip for sides: Flintridge Preparatory MCDZ

Flip for sides: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership AJIG

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
4	Maylin Chen	1	28
2	Daniel Zhao	2	27

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
1	Annie Jiang	3	27
3	Isabel Gan	4	26

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

Martha C
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Emerson CC
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Please provide helpful feedback on the ballot!

Overview: A lot of sophisticated arguments raised - AI, distribut -
but ~~not~~
Very few references to evidence from both teams, by the
end - a lot of general/global statements w/o foundation
Maylin - very clear, spoke slowly, good overview, creative turn; more global turn; good advocacy. Good distinction betw. profit + poor people (25%).

Questions asked in cross-fire -
make shorter, to the point - don't agree w/ our side

Answer summary.
Daniel: Introduced more evidence re affordability. Pharma makes profit w/o ↓ prices.

Cross fire:
Questions from both sides not too effective - questions should be shorter, clearer.

Maylin - Good at summarizing - giving overview - clear simple questions. Isabel - too many general arg w/o evidence to support % people = profits, some #? Good

43 **Carol Chong - AofHL**

Open Public Forum R2 - 10:00

Room: E3 3312018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament**Round Start: 10AM****Ballot Due: Before 11AM, please!****Flip for sides:** Kudos College of Youth
Leadership KGJS

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
2	Neg- Katelyn Gan	3	29

1	Neg- Joy Sun	4	29
---	-----------------	---	----

Flip for sides: Flintridge Preparatory RDAR

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
1	Aff- Rachel Demerit	2	30

2	Aff- Anya Rose	1	30
---	-------------------	---	----

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Please provide helpful feedback on the ballot!

Both teams were very well prepared!
 Enjoyed watching the debate; especially,
 during the cross examination. Both
 teams did ~~very~~ ^a great job!

QX-3 APT

WIN
(LIVES MORE IMPORTANT THAN ECONOMY.)

NEO.

High PRICES
FOR TO PAY FOR
R+D.

- People should die for benefit of economy.

- More people would die from BAD medicine.

- Bill May - Chicago

How is it linked?

TAX.
GENERIC
DRUGS

People can pay for their meds.

CONTENTION - CHARGING CITIZENS

PAID TO NOT SELL DRUGS

DRUG QUALITY GET WORSE.

AVA 4 MIN DEBATE.

NO DEBT OR PROBLEM

COST/BENEFIT - FRAMEWORK OF THE DEBATE. - DE FINE.

FDA NO POWER
SPEEDING UP THE PROCESS FOR APPROVAL

DRUG PRICES -
GENERIC DRUGS - ARE RISING.

INSURANCE - ???

PRICE CONTROL + INNOVATION.

HEALTH / ECONOMICS.

REFUTE - FROM

100 PER PILL IS IMAGINARY.

TAKED / BUREAU CARD
BUREAU

LOBBYING IMPROVE
PRICE CONTROL.
DRUG USE SENSING.

ARTICLE 4 MIN.

2ND CONTENTION - R+D

IMPOSING PRICE CONTROL -
WOULD BE ABLE TO SAVE LIVES.
NO NEW CURES. - HE WILL
NOT HAVE IT

CUTTING PRICES WILL REQUIRE R+D
WHY IS R+D SO EXPENSIVE?

DEBATES OF IT | HIV NEEDS R+D.
DRUG PRICE CONTROL STOP NEW DRUGS

PROFIT VS PEOPLE

ECONOMY BEFORE PEOPLE?
PEOPLE WILL DIE IF IMPOSE
PRICE CONTROLS - + R+D IS THE
KEY.

NEW DRUGS BRING GREATER RETURNS
TO PATIENTS.

49

Harris Fishman - Flintridge Prep

Open Public Forum R2 - 10:00

Room: E3 451

2018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 10AM

Ballot Due: Before 11AM, please!

Flip for sides: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership AYHZ

Flip for sides: Westridge School AZVW

Pos Name RK (1-4) Points (30-1)

— Ava Ye 2nd 2 28

— Helaine Zhao 1 29

Pos Name RK (1-4) Points (30-1)

— Ashley Zhang 2nd 4 24

— Verena Wong 3 25

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Please provide helpful feedback on the ballot!

US FED GOV SHOULD IMPOSE PRICE CONTROLS
ON THE PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY, 2nd LINE.

HELAINA - SHOULD PUT
+ KUDOS PEOPLE
COSTS RISE 4X FASTER
- COST UNREASONABLE.
- MILLIONS CAN'T GET A DRUG.

INSURANCE - UNAFFORDABLE -
IT'S - PRICE CONTROLS.
OLD EPIDEMIOLOGY
IMPACT CRITICAL LOWEST COST
SUBPOINT A. PRICES RISING MORE
THAN OTHER PARTS.
PEOPLE LOBBYING
DECREASE COMPETITION.

VERENA -
HEALTHY.COM. - HEALTH PRIOR TO THE
CONOM. GOV. - CUTS TO COVER RESEARCH.
DRUGS TO HEALTHY - HIGH PRICES.
"PAY FOR DELAY" CONCEPT - FTC.
COND 2. - CHEAPER MEDICALS = CHEAPER
R+D. - 5/10% R+D. DECREASE.
NEW DRUGS ARE BETTER FOR PATIENTS
INNOVATION WOULD FALL. // RESEARCH +
DEV

37

Sharon Demerit - Flintridge Prep

Open Public Forum R2 - 10:00

Room: E3 521

2018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 10AM

Ballot Due: Before 11AM, please!

Flip for sides: Westridge School SSIM

Flip for sides: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership SCZX

Aff

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
2nd	Sylvie Stemple-Jung	28	4
1st	Ilena Moses	27	3

Neg

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
1	Seth Chang	1	29
2	Zhian Xu	2	28

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Please provide helpful feedback on the ballot!

Seth - Great points and spoke well Very Informative and many details. Good contentions. Points & subpoint watch time. Try to give other people time

Ilena - Good job showing your cards and defending your points. Your point on generic medication shortcomings were very effective. I liked when you questioned the research. Try to have more cards to prove your points

Sylvie - Good job pushing them to prove points.

Good job staying on point and bringing up generic drugs. Strong emotions. Try to bring in more research & statistics

Zhian - Great eye contact and research. Great job Questioning the cards. Great specific examples Great wrap up.

45 Mihaela Varga - Flintridge Prep

Open Public Forum R2 - 10:00

Room: **E3 408**2018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 10AM

Ballot Due: Before 11AM, please!

Flip for sides: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership JMAZ

Flip for sides: Westridge School ACJC

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
<u>2</u>	Jevon Mao	<u>3</u>	<u>28</u>
<u>1</u>	Alen Zhang	<u>2</u>	<u>29</u>

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
<u>1</u>	Alyssa Chu	<u>4</u>	<u>27</u>
<u>2</u>	Jesslyn Chen	<u>1</u>	<u>29</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Please provide helpful feedback on the ballot!

Alen - good eye contact Jesslyn - great articulation
I would have liked to see more evidence
of specific examples on affirmative side.
Alyssa + Jevon good effort.

44

Uriel Jimenez Cholula - ELAC

Open Public Forum R2 - 10:00

Room: E3 407

2018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 10AM

Ballot Due: Before 11AM, please!

Flip for sides: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership TLCL

Flip for sides: Westridge School CLMH

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
-----	------	----------	---------------

2. Aff	Tony Lu	4	25
--------	---------	---	----

Aff	Clinton Lau	1	28
-----	-------------	---	----

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
-----	------	----------	---------------

1. Neg	Celine Li	2	27
--------	-----------	---	----

Neg	Morgan Hoang	3	26
-----	--------------	---	----

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

Uriel Jimenez
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

ELAC
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Please provide helpful feedback on the ballot!

1st Neg: When speaking make sure you give yourself time to breath.

- Careful your spreading.
- when asking questions don't seem too aggressive.

Aff Tony: Make sure you pronounce your words.

- Near Spreading.

- Relax don't tense up too much.

- When answering questions don't get nervous.

- Don't look at your paper so much.

2nd Neg: - Careful when speaking.

- Don't interrupt and allow your opponent to finish his/her question.

Clinton: - Don't be so repetitive when stating your contention.

- When your speaking don't stutter so much.

46

Abigail Fang - Kudos Leadership
Anna Razo - Emerson

Open Public Forum R2 - 10:00

Room: E3 411

2018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 10AM

Ballot Due: Before 11AM, please!

Flip for sides: *Aff* Flintridge Preparatory WFBKFlip for sides: *neg* Westridge School MNKX

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
<i>2</i>	Wyatt Fishman	<i>1</i> 2	<i>29.3</i>	<i>2</i>	Mia Nagai	<i>2</i> 3	<i>29</i>
<i>1</i>	Bryce Kim	<i>3</i> 4	<i>28.9</i>	<i>1</i>	Katie Xu	<i>4</i> 5	<i>28.7</i>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

[Signature]
 JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Please provide helpful feedback on the ballot!

Both sides competed very good beginning arguments, but I don't think there was a lot of clash on Neg. From what I could tell, the neg seemed to just cross apply their supply & demand argument as a response. Aff's responses were more thorough and had good link turns in it, which were never responded ~~to~~ to. Because the debate really focused on the idea of supply and demand, and the ~~neg~~ Aff turned it by saying that price controls would actually increase production, and neg never really responded, meaning I don't see any costs anymore.

The Aff responses in rebuttal were actually really good. I think both Aff and Neg could've done more impact calcs, although neg's final focus did touch on it well. Both sides should also know their evidence a little better. Instead of just asking "do you want to see the card for that" so they can actually explain the warrants behind it.

① Established ground: morally.

② Affordability
· ration

③

④ Limit lobby power.

⑤ Drug co.

- 80% on advertising.

⑥ unprofessional. laughing

· eye contact

· less fillers: eh,

⑦

⑧ stifle innovation

(i) most ppl
Generic drugs, regulation is not
necessary.

(ii) Insurance covered the cheapest drug
long-term effects

⑨ generic cheaper + same quality
common to buy generic drug.

⑩

34 **Tiffany Xu - Westridge**

Open Public Forum R2 - 10:00

Room: E3 5572018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament**Round Start: 10AM****Ballot Due: Before 11AM, please!****Flip for sides:** Kudos College of Youth
Leadership ATML**Flip for sides:** Academy of Higher Learning
PPJM *POS aff.*

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
<u>(4)</u>	Alan Tan	<u>25</u>	

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
<u>(2)</u>	Phillip Park	<u>24</u>	

<u>(3)</u>	Michael Lee	<u>25</u>	
------------	-------------	-----------	--

<u>(1)</u>	James Miller	<u>25</u>	
------------	--------------	-----------	--

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Please provide helpful feedback on the ballot!

- ~~Have~~ eye contact
- try to eliminate filler words "eh", etc.
- Good voice projection

Michael

- more eye contact @ the opening, rather than 100% reading.

James

- more emphasis on the main assertion in the opening, ~~not~~ state that clearly, then the sub.
- Address opp's assertion closely

Alan

- make assertion & supporting statements grouped together,
- good voice projection, body language @ the opening.

Alan

- Good voice projection, calm, state assertion clearly

36 Ralph Vogel - Westridge

Open Public Forum R2 - 10:00

Room: E3 5252018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament**Round Start: 10AM****Ballot Due: Before 11AM, please!****Flip for sides:** Kudos College of Youth
Leadership EPEL

Aff

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
<u>1</u>	Ethan Peng	<u>3</u>	<u>25</u>
<u>2</u>	Ethan Liu	<u>4</u>	<u>24</u>

Flip for sides: Wilshire Academy CSCL

Neg

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
<u>2</u>	Clara Son	<u>1</u>	<u>28</u>
<u>1</u>	Christine Lee	<u>2</u>	<u>27</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

Ralph Vogel

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Westridge

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Please provide helpful feedback on the ballot!*Neg team explained arguments well.**Aff team could improve by paraphrasing or explaining in multiple ways.*

40 Sue Moses - Westridge

Open Public Forum R2 - 10:00

Room: E3 311

2018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 10AM

Ballot Due: Before 11AM, please!

Flip for sides: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership SSEJ

Flip for sides: Wilshire Academy RKAL

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
1	Sunny Sun	2	29
2	Ellie Jiang	1	29

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
1	Roy Kim	3	26
2	Andrew Lee	4	25

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Please provide helpful feedback on the ballot!

Sunny - good point - price control is discouraging innovation
 " " - R&D will be lower because of lower profit + less
 drugs will be produced
 good point - value of making generic drugs can keep costs
 asked good questions of other team
 there is no word "anyways". It is anyway.

Ellie - good point - costs of drugs high because of R&D for the world
 but ultimately saves lives
 good point - harder innovation means less drugs created
 responded to other teams points well

Roy - friendly manner in opening
 good point - lower prices help with preventative care
 Didn't give clear details when asked by other team
 price control
 saves lives & saves money - great point

Andrew - everyone has a hard day
 next time you will kill it!

42 Sylvia Lee - Westridge

Open Public Forum R2 - 10:00

Room: E3 325

2018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 10AM

Ballot Due: Before 11AM, please!

Flip for sides: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership CSJW (L)

(R) Flip for sides: Wilshire Academy ALKA

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
1	Cloris Shi	4	29 26	2	Alex Lee	2	28
2	Julianne Wu	3	29 21	1	Kriste An	1	29

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ☒

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Please provide helpful feedback on the ballot!

Julianne Wu - Excellent arguments, Read too much,
more eyecontact, good voice

Alex Lee - Excellent style, very clear, great crossfire
good response, well researched, didn't cut down ^{oppos} argument enough.

Kriste An - Excellent performance, good opening
good presance, need better rebuttal to argue against

Cloris Shi - Great arguments, read too much, good pitch
good questions, well researched, ~~but~~ give a
stronger presense