

65

Taehyun Kim - PSA

Open Public Forum R3 - 11:00

Room: E3 331

2018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: Now

Ballot Due: Soon!

Flip for sides: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership AYHZ

Flip for sides: Westridge School ACJC

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
<u>My</u>	Ava Ye	<u>1</u>	<u>30</u>
<u>Nr</u>	Helaine Zhao	<u>4</u>	<u>27</u>

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
<u>AF</u>	Alyssa Chu	<u>3</u>	<u>28</u>
<u>AF</u>	Jesslyn Chen	<u>2</u>	<u>29</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

AF Compare price controls
Alyssa Good confident speech.
 - skip doses - hybrist - diabetic, cancer patients.
 - Insulin. Humira - \$1,000 US \$3,000
 US is charging more.

Jesslyn clear, understandable delivery
 if drugs are not affordable, what good is R&D?

Alyssa - there wouldn't be no future generation if no cost control

Attraction source of funding

Jesslyn - R&D price control - no guarantee.

Helaine pattern
Nr Cost controls running out of slow down a bit. breath.
Economy - monotone, rapid pace of speech
Research - Develop
Ava unnecessary
good intw. AGD.
good delivery affordable generic
price tag - market Hammer market
Key on R&D? No solution at all?

Helaine no new drug - Kelly off

Ava Brain Drain

excellent rebuttal + answering question

impact. non-unique.

70

Meredith Rose - Flintridge Prep

Open Public Forum R3 - 11:00

Room: E3 431

2018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: Now

Ballot Due: Soon!

Aff. Flip for sides: Westridge School AZVW

Flip for sides: Kudos College of Youth Leadership KGJS *NEG*

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
<u>3+</u>	Ashley Zhang	<u>1</u>	<u>28</u>
<u>1+</u>	Verena Wong	<u>4</u>	<u>25</u>

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
<u>4-</u>	Katelyn Gan	<u>2</u>	<u>27</u>
<u>2-</u>	Joy Sun	<u>3</u>	<u>26</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

WESTRIDGE

good eye contact, examples are explained clearly, calm presentation; best to use full amount of time allotted. To ensure understanding offer clearer enunciation or slow down delivery -

ARGUMENTS STRAY INTO
ELUSIVE CONCEPTS
OF TIME

good eye CONTACT
a bit too much interruption of opponents during crossfire; let your opponent speak. Also, lots of passion, good! but better to slow down delivery.

Kudos College of Youth

Att

Challenge

Impose: + Price

- ①. ppl not filling out, skipping eye contact.
- ②. affordability expensive

Solve: Good eye contact, postaxe.

- i) why produce new drug, if no one can't afford them.

- ii) ^{generic} harmful side effects

- iii) . this is a sub for (i).

Neg

Ryan.

i)

price ceiling
price floor.

~~stifle~~ innovation.
no breakthrough

- ii) generic drug availability
3/4 of dispen

63% $\rightarrow$ 78%
now low cost

- iii) same gov't money
consumes.

less money for R&D

Cost of
short
sic lives.

Daniel: Good research.

- ①. Insurance absorbs the cost.
gov. distributes to young + old.
patent expired, lower price.

lobbiest $\neq$ power, no bidding.

69

Jordyn Alexis - ELAC

Open Public Forum R3 - 11:00

Room: E3 4212018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament**Round Start: Now****Ballot Due: Soon!****Flip for sides:** Westridge School SSIM**Flip for sides:** Kudos College of Youth
Leadership DZRG

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
④	Sylvie Stemple-Jung	1	28	③	Daniel Zheng	2	27
②	Ilena Moses	3	26	①	Ryan Geng	4	26

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____


 JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

 Westridge
 JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

- ① Good opening stnd, laying out the contention clearly
 - more eye contact.
- ② stated definition and opening clearly
 followed and addressed all counter points.
- ③ - Good research for support.
 - more eye contact when convincing the judge.
- ④ clearly addressed all rebuttals.
 Good logical flow for the argument.
 very confident closing!
- All four... Good job! Very composed! Valid points on both side**
- APP.**
 - better posture
 confident body lang
 good eye contact
 less reading off screen

58 Doug Jung - Westridge

Open Public Forum R3 - 11:00

Room: E3 525

2018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: Now

Ballot Due: Soon!

Flip for sides: Flintridge Preparatory LKEG
NEGFlip for sides: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership CSJW AFF.

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
N	Lauren Kim	3	27	A	Cloris Shi	4	26
N	Eliza Gunter	1	29	A	Julianne Wu	2	28

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

LAUREN - GOOD CONTENT, BUT AT TIMES FELT LIKE A LIST OF FACTS. HARD TO ABSORB AT TIMES WITHOUT ~~ANY~~ ANY ~~ATTEMPT~~ KIND OF ~~PRE~~CONNECT OR HUMANIZATION OF FACTS. ARGUMENT IN REBUTAL ABOUT PROFIT CONTROLS FAILING PREVIOUSLY IN U.S. SEEMED BIG & COMPELLING BUT WAS NOT UTILIZED FOR MAX IMPACT.

CLORIS - GOOD ENERGY & HUMANIZING OF TOPIC AND FACTS. ~~DON'T~~ FIND FURTHER EVIDENCE FOR ASSERTIONS ~~THAT~~ THAT MIGHT NEED CLARIFICATION. IE... WHY DON'T COMPANIES PUT PROFITS BACK INTO R&D? WHERE DOES IT GO?

FLORA - PRECISE & CLEAR DELIVERY. ARGUMENTS WERE MOST EFFECTIVE WHEN POINTING OUT OPPOSITION'S THIN FACTS OR LOGIC, BUT DOESN'T MEAN YOU DON'T NEED TO SHOW YOUR OWN FACTS. FELT AT TIMES YOU WERE GOOD TO POINT OUT ~~THEIR~~ ARGUMENTS, BUT ~~WASN'T~~ ~~BACKING UP TO~~ ~~THEIR~~ THEIR ~~OWN~~ ARGUMENT DOESN'T MAKE YOURS GOOD. AUTOMATICALLY.

~~CLORIS~~ JULIANNE - GOOD DELIVERY. THOUGHT TOO MANY REFERENCES TO POLITICIANS (TRUMP, SANDERS). ~~THESE~~ POLITICIANS ARE NOT FACTUAL & SCIENTIFIC SOURCES. NOT CREDIBLE. WANTED BETTER

EXPLANATION ABOUT WHY/HOW COMPANIES
ARE NOT PUTTING REVENUE BACK INTO R&D.

67 Sue Moses - Westridge

Open Public Forum R3 - 11:00

Room: E3 408

2018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: Now

Ballot Due: Soon!

Flip for sides: Flintridge Preparatory MCDZ

Flip for sides: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership DWCL

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
2	Maylin Chen	4	26
1	Daniel Zhao	3	27

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
1	Danielle Workman	2	28
2	Chloe Leong	1	29

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Danielle ^{argument}
 good point - France + Italy use price control to get drugs to more people. Could work in US.
 - Can't always shut generics because of side effects

Chloe good rebuttal - a lot of FDA reg. pass as when more people buy volume will put more \$ into R&D
 good Cross X - people not buying drugs - too expensive would save more lives if available to everyone

Daniel good Cross X - described opponents case well when asked to

Maylin ^{argument}
 good point - lack of profits could lead to shut out in production
 refuted tylenol/US generic issue well

62 Mihaela Varga - Flintridge Prep

Open Public Forum R3 - 11:00

Room: E3 311

2018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: Now

Ballot Due: Soon!

Flip for sides: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership AAJK

Flip for sides: Westridge School MNKX

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
	<i>No show</i>	<i>NA</i>	<i>NA</i>
	Alan Alexander		
<i>1</i>	Justin Kung	<i>1</i>	<i>30</i>

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
<i>2</i>	Mia Nagai	<i>3</i>	<i>27</i>
<i>1</i>	Katie Xu	<i>2</i>	<i>28</i>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.Low Point Win? ☒

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

- good eye contact
- more evidence needed
- more request for evidence
- good explanations Justin

68 **Sylvia Lee - Westridge**

Open Public Forum R3 - 11:00

Room: E3 4112018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament**Round Start: Now****Ballot Due: Soon!****Flip for sides:** Kudos College of Youth
Leadership ATML**Flip for sides:** Academy of Higher Learning
JLWK

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
-----	------	----------	---------------

2	Alan Tan	4	21
---	----------	---	----

1	Michael Lee	3	23
---	-------------	---	----

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
-----	------	----------	---------------

2	Jaeho Lee	1	27
---	-----------	---	----

1	Wonjong Ko	2	26
---	------------	---	----

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Michael Lee - Read too fast (hid face behind his paper)
 - Robotic presence, confusing 1st contention, asked good questions. 2nd part much better, good 2nd/3rd contention
 - sometimes seems formulaic

Wonjong Ko - Good presence, less reading off paper
 - good arguments, good rebuttals

Alan Tan - Good rebuttal, make presence stronger,
 need eye contact, too many ums

Jaeho Lee - good presence - strong rebuttals, good insurance argument

64

Harris Fishman - Flintridge Prep

Open Public Forum R3 - 11:00

Room: E3 325

2018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: Now

Ballot Due: Soon!

Flip for sides: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership JLLW

Flip for sides: Westridge School TWDV

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
1 st	Jeffrey Liu	4	26
2 nd	Luke Wu	3	25

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
1 st	Tanya Wei	2	29
2 nd	Dahlia Vogel	1	30

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

US Fed. Gov. SHOULD IMPOSE PRICE
CONTROLS ON THE PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY

NOTES ON DIFF PIERCE IF PAPER IF
ANYONE WANTS TO DISCUSS IN MORE
DETAIL.

BOYS FROM KUDOS WERE A BIT OFF
TOPIC ON THEIR FRAMEWORK / TOO BROAD
ON CONSTITUTION + LEGALITY + DIDN'T
BRING IT BACK TO THE RESOLUTION.

Aff wins

1. the Neg's FF was ~~ff~~ purely defensive - at the end of the debate, there's no reason why price controls are bad
2. Nothing was terminal - even if Neg wins their claims, there's only a chance that the Aff might be better.
3. Aff's 1st contention was concerned - even if innovation debate was awash, Aff still wins

Strategic thing for the Aff: don't say "we ↑ consumer base" and "that extra \$ & matter" - you could just win that you increase consumer base (which you did win in this debate) and turn that adv.

57 ~~Alex Fu~~ - Kudos Leadershi

Open Public Forum R3 - 11:00

Room: E3 553

2018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: Now

Ballot Due: Soon!

Flip for sides: Emerson Community Charter
School MRKC

NEG

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
2	Marcus Razo	27.4	4
1	Katie Cohen	28.1	3

2nd spot

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Flip for sides: Flintridge Preparatory RDAR

AFF

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
1	Rachel Demerit	21.3	2
2	Anya Rose	28.5	1

1st speak

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

AL - Start it slower, then speed up, not other way around. Gives judge chance to get used to your voice

CX - if opponent can't answer, just move on and bring it up in speech. Your point's been made already

AP - roadmap can be off-time. Refer to AL ev.

NR - specifically why are other nations different? Different in X way which means Y - impact out your arguments. Refer to NC ev to answer their arguments, ie - generic companies means built monopoly

AS - they could change isn't an adequate answer to "Spady \$1/\$20 on innovation" - the Aff doesn't force companies to change. Better argument - even using the \$1 is not to innovate, it's to re-make old media

NS - you need to impact out dropped arguments. Why should you win b/c an arg was dropped? FDA argument - apply it to the case. Your FDA argument is descriptive of the scenario; why does this mean the Aff fails?

2N - you need to flow the debate - (Shul focus was really broad and was lacking line by line)

Austin - Good clear intro - Good framework Good ~~the~~ eye contact, sophisticated knowledge of medical issues of pharma - very clear explanation of importance of R&D.
• Excellent presentation. Good factoring in of generics.
Good presentation of data.

Celine pro price controls - spoke to judge - ~~good~~ marched right into data but overview ~~to~~ would have helped.
Very clear, articulate, Not compelling argument that generics harmful - why do many use them? (and time?) generics are just drugs w/ expired patents.

X fine.
Austin - Celine very quick + firm on response. Great use of data + ~~them~~ able to reframe in her own words clearly, compelling presentation.

Austin - good point about needing innovation in order to derive generics.

Celine - good??

Daniel - Clear opening - made statements w/o support re harm of generics -

Case - good eye contact, good presentation. Great advocacy force good body language, confident. Good creative cause + effect study/presentation.

Morgan Good advocacy, good forceful tone but opening arguments not evidence based. Picked up confidence after after initial stumble, good use of evidence. Too many Global arguments ("people are dying"), lack a little nuance. Referenced generics side effects but shd have shown examples. Arguments about death ~~law~~ quality of life too reductive. But good use of evidence also - good weaving in.

Crossfire: ~~As~~ Daniel - great, clear first?? Morgan - response not focused to - stood her ground but Daniel better more logical. argument. Good back + forth very responsive to each other. Morgan took control of questioning - "move on!" Morgan good pull on Orphan Drug Act. →

63 Martha Cohen - Emerson

Open Public Forum R3 - 11:00

Room: E3 321

2018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: Now

Ballot Due: Soon!

Flip for sides: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership AHDW

Flip for sides: Westridge School CLMH

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
1	Austin Hong	2	29.0	2	Celine Li	4	28.0
3	Daniel Wu	3	28.5	4	Morgan Hoang	1	29.0

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Best two teams so far - very hard to judge b/c all 4 so good! Great uses of evidence, framing of questions. Very close call on all of you. All had great style, presentation, clarity of thought, mastery of subject matter. Could have ranked you all "1". So although I had to rank you, you were all excellent, very passionate, clear, persuasive. Differences were so subtle. Celine - great on comebacks on question, great at standing your ground and pulling out args. Austin best on putting forth clear opp presentation.

Very hard for me to rank you - you should all be proud today!! You can see this from all my crosses - kept scribbling back & forth

Morgan - very aggressive on taking control of answers - very aggressive (good!) w/ standing ground.

Austin - great pulling out of issues to challenge.

Celine - insurance will cover - but what about useability of ACA.

Gr. X free. Morgan - great responses.

is impose price controls on drugs

56 Carlo Razo - Emerson

Open Public Forum R3 - 11:00

Room: E3 557

2018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: Now

Ballot Due: Soon!

Flip for sides: Flintridge Preparatory GVDC

Flip for sides: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership TLCL

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
-----	------	----------	---------------

Aff	Gabi Varga	1	29
-----	------------	---	----

Aff	Daniel Choi	2	28
-----	-------------	---	----

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
-----	------	----------	---------------

Neg	Tony Lu	3	27
-----	---------	---	----

Neg	Clinton Lau	4	26
-----	-------------	---	----

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Clinton - Good clear strong speaking voice. Try not to put your paper too high in front of your face. Good point on use of money to spend on innovation. Presentation was much better in your ~~summary~~ summary, very clear.

Daniel - Excellent clear speaking. Good eye contact and use of hands for emphasis. Good job emphasizing key points.

Tony - Would benefit from a bit more eye contact. Great clear voice and articulation of emphatic points. Strong argument re. price controls causing ~~the~~ supply shortages.

Gabi - I liked your organized approach to your first points. Excellent eye contact and good use of hand motions to emphasize points. Good argument of price controls increasing production.

59

Uriel Jimenez Cholula - ELAC

Open Public Forum R3 - 11:00

Room: E3 521

2018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: Now

Ballot Due: Soon!

Flip for sides: Flintridge Preparatory WFBK

Flip for sides: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership SGSJ

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
-----	------	----------	---------------

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
-----	------	----------	---------------

1. Neg	Wyatt Fishman	3	26
--------	---------------	---	----

APP	Susie Gu 2	1	29
-----	------------	---	----

1. Neg	Bryce Kim	2	27
--------	-----------	---	----

APP	Stephanie Jing 2	4	25
-----	------------------	---	----

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

Uriel Jimenez
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

ELAC
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Neg APP.

Stephanie: - Don't look down and just read off your paper.

Isic: - Don't be so shy and nervous.

- Be more confident when speaking.

- When answering questions make sure you're confident with your answers

Neg.

- Don't Spread

- Don't look down and just read.

- Try not to say uhh so much.

- Pronounce your words a bit more.

- Allow your opponent to finish their sentence.

- Just answer the question no need to put more info then needed.

- Don't be so nervous.

- Don't forget your position of the argument.

71 **Khamani Griffin - PSA**

Open Public Forum R3 - 11:00

Room: E3 4512018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament**Round Start: Now****Ballot Due: Soon!****Flip for sides:** Kudos College of Youth
Leadership EPEL**Flip for sides:** Academy of Higher Learning
PPJM

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
<u>1</u>	Ethan Peng	<u>1</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>AFT</u> Phillip Park	<u>2</u>	<u>27</u>
<u>2</u>	Ethan Liu	<u>3</u>	<u>27.5</u>	<u>1</u>	James Miller	<u>4</u>	<u>28</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

I think the Neg summary sums up my ballot.

There's a huge unproven risk that Price Controls doesn't affect the R & D push. There's no answer from the AFF on how Price Controls fixes this harm. The Negative team does a phenomenal job (really pulling out the win from the second half of the debate) of upholding their chosen (and stated) FW which gives me access to evaluate their arguments through their preferred lenses of analysis. The negatives claim of innovation doesn't get as much weight as I'd like to give it; (AFF: Few months sales solve;) but the negative are on the claims of limiting profits which functioned as the Internal link to being able to mitigate the R&D costs. This could've been and easy AFF ballot had the extended their high costs of R&D; not development.

72

Sharon Demerit - Flintridge Prep

Open Public Forum R3 - 11:00

Room: E3 455

2018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: Now

Ballot Due: Soon!

Flip for sides: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership AJIGFlip for sides: Academy of Higher Learning
JPSJ

Neg

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
1st	Annie Jiang	4	26

2nd	Isabel Gan	3	27
-----	------------	---	----

Aff

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
1st	Jun-Woo Pak	2	28

2nd	Soren Jensen	1	29
-----	--------------	---	----

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

Sharon Demerit
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Prep
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Annie - Very strong tone and evidence. Good job coming back with your points. Good job holding your ground. Try to make sure you address counter arguments.

Jun-Woo - Great contentions. I think you had a lot of great evidence. You lay out your points very well. Your explanations were very informative. Good job asking questions. Try to make your counterpoints clear.

Isabel - Good job ^{with your} countering points. You did a great job with a clear layout. Make sure you listen to your opponents arguments and address them specifically.

Soren - You have very strong evidence and specific examples that are backed up with specific ~~points~~ ^{points}. You are a very strong debater, but make sure you explain yourself to your opponent. It is clear you researched your topic well.

66 **Ralph Vogel - Westridge**

Open Public Forum R3 - 11:00

Room: E3 407

2018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: Now

Ballot Due: Soon!

Flip for sides: Wilshire Academy DHDN

Aff

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
1	Darren Hwang	2	27
2	Daniel Noh	4	25

Flip for sides: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership SCZX

Neg

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
1	Seth Chang	3	26
2	Zhian Xu	1	28

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

Ralph Vogel

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Westridge

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Aff team could have explained that patents mean that companies have no incentive to offer cheaper generics, rather than just referring to the definition of a patent.

Aff team repeatedly stated that they "destroyed" an argument or opponent's criterion framework; I think a better term could be used to suggest winning an argument.

Seth C. should try to avoid holding his papers right in front of his face. Judge wants to see speakers face otherwise, very good.

Both sides good evidence, and I thought Neg team stayed closer to their arguments.

61 **Carol Chong - AofHL**

Open Public Forum R3 - 11:00

Room: E3 5072018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament**Round Start: Now****Ballot Due: Soon!****Flip for sides:** Wilshire Academy ALKA**Flip for sides:** Kudos College of Youth
Leadership JMAZ

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
2	Aff. Alex Lee	1	30	2	Neg. Jevon Mao	3	27
1	Aff. Kriste An	2	29	1	Neg. Alen Zhang	4	20

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Jevon → Eye Contact. Constant looking at screen, gave no eye contact to the audience.

Alen → when speaking, Please stand.

Kriste → More movement; IE, hand gestures.

60

Ching-Cheng Chen - Flintridge Prep

Open Public Forum R3 - 11:00

Room: E3 511

2018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: Now

Ballot Due: Soon!

Flip for sides: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership SSEJ

Flip for sides: Wilshire Academy CSCL

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
Aff	Sunny Sun 3	4	28.5

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
Aff	Ellie Jiang 4	2	29.3

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
Nej	Clara Son 1	3	29

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
Nej	Christine Lee 2	1	29.5

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Flintridge Prep.

do not use
whatever
good
to win
t

Sunny
1. Price of med is
US is higher than other
country
2. ppl. avoid drugs to save money
→ lose life
3. opioid abuse → price control
point unclear
4. reduce insurance price

Ellie
1. PC has limit
2. price is too high
3. public fund - lessen the
impact
4. opioid abuse still unclear
→ cross
is ineffective

Christine very good delivery
1. Price control will reduce insurance
(better delivery)

2. Price control is useless, drug price
is already negotiated
3. Price control will make drug more price
Federal negotiator will control the price
4. Price control will limit amount of drugs
weak on challenging.

Clara
good extension on primary police