

86

Jordyn Alexis - ELAC

Open Public Forum R4 - 12:00

Room: E3 3312018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament**Round Start: 1:30****Ballot Due: ASAP!****Flip for sides:** Kudos College of Youth
Leadership TLCL**Flip for sides:** Flintridge Preparatory MCDZ

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
<u>A¹</u>	Tony Lu	<u>3</u>	<u>27</u>
<u>A²</u>	Clinton Lau	<u>1</u>	<u>28</u>

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
<u>N¹</u>	Maylin Chen	<u>4</u>	<u>26</u>
<u>N²</u>	Daniel Zhao	<u>2</u>	<u>29</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.Low Point Win? NO

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

AffGreat points and
confidence!Neg1: Focus ideas on topic and
organize thoughts better
2: You had great
arguments

93 **Natalie Yates - AofHL**

Open Public Forum R4 - 12:00

Room: E3 4552018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament**Round Start: 1:30****Ballot Due: ASAP!****Flip for sides:** Kudos College of Youth
Leadership SGSJ**Flip for sides:** Flintridge Preparatory GVDC

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
Neg	Susie Gu	3	27
Neg	F5 Stephanie Jing	4	26

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
Aff	Gabi Varga	2	28
Aff	F5 Daniel Choi	1	27

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Great Job Everyone.

Aff. excellent case. You really supported your intentions and framework well. solid evidence. Cross free. Should you note/looking and knew your case.

Neg. Good case but you dropped some points and didn't seem to understand all of your information well. I didn't seem like you were as prepared as we'd be for the rebuttals. Good job overall.

Katelyn : good point re: generic drugs. Tone was overall kind of accusatory.
"U.S. has better economy than other countries" too general and not supported. Also doesn't support overall argument

too vague

"we will have more money from the innovated drugs" -
Not really a strong point - Confused.

- got kind of lost in refuting points - pointing to the economy being strong is
- said could fail (just because works in other countries ^{not enough} still could fail in U.S. - that's not an argument, just a statement w/ no reasoning)

*shouting. Okay to be passionate but too loud.

92

Carlo Raze - Emerson

Open Public Forum R4 - 12:00

Room: E3 451

2018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 1:30

Ballot Due: ASAP!

Flip for sides: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership KGJS

Flip for sides: Flintridge Preparatory WFBK

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
NEG	Katelyn Gan	4	25
NEG	Joy Sun	3	26

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
AFF	Wyatt Fishman	1	29
AFF	Bryce Kim	2	28

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

U.S. Govt Price Controls on pharmaceutical

Bryce: good pt about private sector cannot be trusted to make decision (good re-visited on following AARP 8x... good point. Answered cross ?s well. Excellent job with follow-up/cross.

Joy: good eye contact. Lack of innovation good point. Not sure it's good to talk about how profitable pharm. industry is. Mispronounced some words: "stifle", "unprofitable". Cross exam questions were a little confusing - asked how much any drug costs for ex. isn't relevant - "Expensive generics" + then said cheaper - Not very strong cross.

Wyatt: good pt. on cost v. marketing. Needed evidence to back up pt that innovation happens when gov. sets prices. "U.S. should follow in other country's footsteps" - should support w/ evidence. Closing was good - good to point out what opponent never addressed.

from the majority

Neg

Sources have been used

Neg

- Cross-section evidence
- 1) Don't have direct evidence
 - only effects
 - scale of medication
 - Don't prove anything
- Waiting 2017:
 - generic med. cheaper in the U.S
 - 2008 the entire government cut the age cost crisis

2018:

- St. Price will be reduced
 - price control
 - Add to the debt
 - drug innovation

upd.

U.S. & European Union

different Econ
US drug market
successful and
innovative in the
world

Dealing
with
hyper-growth
↓
No real
facts

(U.S) Demo, (EU) Monarchy

- Difference among both econs:
 - 2 approaches
 - marketability
 - 40% can afford 3 in prices
- 115 people / day
- Drug not afford the price

cost: cost as a whole

- Leg. is being presented some people
 - helping already
 - Failed to center
 - No action in price control
 - Innovation in drug 7 Billion people

Sept 17, 2018

No group that is necessary
is difficult then
leaving
costs
to
be
put
to
some

App

2). Canada, Swiss, UK (Monarchy)

Price control
means price
can't exceed
a price

- Limiting development
 - Drug companies have
the money
 - beneficial to society
 - simple
 - replicable

20% of new drugs are
copies to existing
drugs

Excess revenue is placed
back into buying back on
old stocks

Product 18 is disappearing
because they are making
more money

- Innovation on drugs mean
come from other places
other than private
organizations
- open meeting states
- drug time continuation

Drug 3rd because not meant
to be all going but rather
only the life saving
be cheaper

Can't explain how the econ is
different

- 78 billion dollars
- Now affect innovation

★ generic drugs
- Newfound 1st
period crisis

June, 2018

Deaths in or both interests
3 scenarios / refutations
2.7 million die to fall
because sent more money

Santiago Lopez

78

Taehyun Kim - PSA

Open Public Forum R4 - 12:00

Room: E3 553

2018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 1:30

Ballot Due: ASAP!

Flip for sides: Flintridge Preparatory RDAR

Flip for sides: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership SSEJ

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
-----	------	----------	---------------

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
-----	------	----------	---------------

1st	<u>A</u> Rachel Demerit	<u>3</u>	<u>28</u>
-----	-------------------------	----------	-----------

<u>N</u>	Sunny Sun	<u>4</u>	<u>27</u>
----------	-----------	----------	-----------

2nd	<u>A</u> Anya Rose	<u>2</u>	<u>29</u>
-----	--------------------	----------	-----------

<u>N</u>	Ellie Jiang	<u>1</u>	<u>30</u>
----------	-------------	----------	-----------

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

Santiago Lopez
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

ELAC
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

A1: 4:00

Benny Lives
Opposes After 14-17

21min to 207min

Pharmacies making money

Shot 1 from 1 min

150 mental sup.

900-500/month

400/500/month

50% reduction - 10 years

2017: Euro 1000

UK - 1000

Swiss - 120

Price control

9:30 am

mind lower prices

A1: 2

HD quite

Price control - will make things worse

Cutting prices

limits amount

1st: Vaccines are exp.

200m - 500m / vaccine

loaded 2/1 risk

5 signs of disease

70 million cases of disease

were spread

2 million cases of disease

Research budget

5.0 billion drugs

cheaper & good alternative

if you control access something from European countries the US

80

Ching-Cheng Chen - Flintridge Prep

Open Public Forum R4 - 12:00

Room: E3 521

2018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 1:30

Ballot Due: ASAP!

Flip for sides: Westridge School TWDV

Flip for sides: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership ATML

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
-----	------	----------	---------------

Aff	Tanya Wei	4	2 21.5
-----	-----------	---	-------------------

Aff	Dahlia Vogel	3	1 27.7
-----	--------------	---	--------

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
-----	------	----------	---------------

Neg	Alan Tan	1	3 27
-----	----------	---	-----------------

Neg	Michael Lee	2	4 26.5
-----	-------------	---	--------

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

More eye contact

no eye contact

Counters delivery is weak and in

Tanya: 1. High cost
2. only 15 to RND
3. lower price overseas ex. Humer
4. ~~weak~~ similar income
weak changes to generic drugs.

Dahlia:
1. good rebuttal to 1-2
2. generic rebuttal is good but not strongest
speak louder

Michael: too fast
1. PC → replace income
→ use vaccine as an example
2. statter.
only one point, move on

2. Generic drug is cheap.
3. Cost is absorbed by insurance
4. said as 3rd, PC → increase prices

no follow up on the questions.

Alan: no eye contact
1. generic counter is not very effective
2. Weak on insurance coverage
3. slow to respond during cross

How is insurance pay for cheapest version is not drug is
4. breaks during delivery
uncontrolled

better cross

91

Uriel Jimenez Cholula - ELAC

Open Public Forum R4 - 12:00

Room: E3 431

2018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 1:30

Ballot Due: ASAP!

Flip for sides: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership DZRG

Flip for sides: Westridge School AZVW

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
-----	------	----------	---------------

1	<u>Aff</u> Daniel Zheng	<u>3</u>	<u>27</u>
---	-------------------------	----------	-----------

1	<u>Aff</u> Ryan Geng	<u>4</u>	<u>26</u>
---	----------------------	----------	-----------

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
-----	------	----------	---------------

<u>Neg</u>	Ashley Zhang 2	<u>2</u>	<u>28</u>
------------	----------------	----------	-----------

<u>Neg</u>	Verena Wong 2	<u>1</u>	<u>29</u>
------------	---------------	----------	-----------

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

Uriel Jimenez
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

ELAC
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

AFF

Neg

Ryan: - Don't spread.
- Don't just read off the paper.
- Try to limit the use of umh when asking questions.

Uriel: - Try not to just read off your paper.
- When speaking be more confident.
- Speak up.
- Try not to move your hands so much

- When speaking make sure you ^{pronounce} ~~enunciate~~ your words a bit more.
- Don't spread and also don't be so nervous.
- Don't read off just off the paper.
- When answering questions be more confident.
- Speak up a bit more.

81

Harris Fishman - Flintridge Prep

Open Public Forum R4 - 12:00

Room: E3 511

2018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 1:30

Ballot Due: ASAP!

Flip for sides: Westridge School MNKX

Flip for sides: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership AHDW

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
2 nd	Mia Nagai	4	24
1 st	Katie Xu	1	28

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
1 st	Austin Hong	2	27
2 nd	Daniel Wu	3	26

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.

Low Point Win? ☒

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

THE US FED GOV. SHOULD IMPOSE PRICE CONTROLS
ON THE PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY.

NEE

(COST
ADDED BY
PEOPLE
BE ARE
WANT
OF LIFE

AFF

87

Sharon Demerit - Flintridge Prep

Open Public Forum R4 - 12:00

Room: E3 407

2018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 1:30

Ballot Due: ASAP!

Flip for sides: Westridge School ACJC

Aff

Flip for sides: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership AAJK

Neg

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
1st	Alyssa Chu	2	28
2nd	Jesslyn Chen	1	29

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
X	Alan Alexander		
1st	Justin Kung	3	28

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Alyssa - Great Sources. Your Speech was very well done.
Don't give up on your points.

Justin - Good job supporting your case. I appreciate
your compassion for the topic. Try to focus on
individual points rather than getting them to
agree to you.

Jesslyn - Great rebuttal and eye contact! Great
evidence to support your points. You have a
great presence.

88

Meredith Rose - Flintridge Prep

Open Public Forum R4 - 12:00

Room: E3 408

2018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 1:30

Ballot Due: ASAP!

Flip for sides: Westridge School CLMH

Flip for sides: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership JLLW

Neg.

Aff
TEAM B

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
1 st	Celine Li	2	27
2 nd	Morgan Hoang	4	25

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
2 nd	Jeffrey Liu	1	28
1 st	Luke Wu	3	26

TEAM A

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ☒

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

JLLW - impassioned, good eye contact, knows material - Legal argument slow down to improve clarity of your message, argument strays to democracy in other countries, try offering concrete evidence to support your claims. for instance, mentioned that taxes could be raised on pharmaceutical .co. but this line of reasoning stopped - no other ways of solving prob. of high drug prices mentioned. Very good delivery of points, however points needed more support - not just repeating them.

WESTRIDGE - logical, steady delivery, moral/ethical argument, try to know information to rely less on reading, also impassioned - has evidence to back up views, uses rational reasoning to debunk opp. STAY focused on main arguments. Overall presented logical argument that effectively refuted opponents!

85 Mihaela Varga - Flintridge Prep

Open Public Forum R4 - 12:00

Room: E3 325

2018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 1:30

Ballot Due: ASAP!

Flip for sides: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership DWCL

Flip for sides: Westridge School SSIM

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
1	Danielle Workman	1	29

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
2	Sylvie Stemple-Jung	4	26

2	Chloe Leong	2	28
---	-------------	---	----

1	Ilena Moses	3	27
---	-------------	---	----

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

- good eye contact
- statistics illustrated the point well
- supporting evidence was key

Low Bo

84 Sue Moses - Westridge

Open Public Forum R4 - 12:00

Room: E3 321

2018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 1:30

Ballot Due: ASAP!

Flip for sides: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership CSJWFlip for sides: Emerson Community Charter
School MRKC

Pos Name RK (1-4) Points (30-1)

1 Cloris Shi 3 26

2 Julianne Wu 4 25

Pos Name RK (1-4) Points (30-1)

2 Marcus Razo 2 27

1 Katie Cohen 1 28

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Katie

Gave good arguments - 19 mil people didn't take drugs because can't afford

- The Harvard Study

- India + Germany Price Controls

good response to price control ques from opponent

You refuted other team's argument & why following Europe's lead on drug prices isn't good - very well - your answer was well thought outMarcus

good arguments & low prices mean more people will buy drugs + fund R+D

good job on cross - answered ques well

Cloris

good response & question to price controls from other team

Julianne

good research showing price control did keep drugs from coming to market

1 Darren - even tone clearly lifted out
price ctrl
① Beneficial: tax breaks.

② BLK market eliminates.
- illegal
- no tax
- can't report scam

③ save lives
- preventive @ $\frac{1}{2}$ of the sale

summary:
- public care about welfare.

2 Alen con.

more eye cont
@ opening. Not
just reading

i) L-T cost

↑ access to drugs

• longer time for new drug
90 days.

ii) ~~rests~~ stiff R+D & Innovation
30-50% ↓ R.D

summary:
counters
good rebuttal on tax break

Jevon

can't impose

good rebuttal on the 1st point

④

①

② BLK BLK

③

frameworks.

speed vs clarity.

need to

1. cite all reference

*

90% are generic

* support for cost analysis

Final

① Good closing, addressed all relevant

90 **Tiffany Xu - Westridge**

Open Public Forum R4 - 12:00

Room: E3 4212018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament**Round Start: 1:30****Ballot Due: ASAP!****Flip for sides:** Kudos College of Youth
Leadership JMAZ**Flip for sides:** Wilshire Academy DHDN

Neg

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
(4)	Jevon Mao	3	26
(2)	Alen Zhang	4	26

Aff

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
(1)	Darren Hwang	1	27
(3)	Daniel Noh	2	26

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Darren

- ① - Good, even, tone w/ opening remarks.
- Addressed all counter points.

- ② Alen - Good voice projection.
- provided detail on counter.
- good counter on tax break.

- ③ Daniel - Good closing.
- supports provided for statements.
- Need to address all points: for example the public sector on the R&D.
- ④ Jevon - Need to consider tradeoffs between speed & clarity.
- very good research material, need to cite all references for example: 90% generic drugs.

APP

- cost benefit = framework
 - ↳ benefits outweigh the cost
- harming innovation:
 - prices don't hurt innovation.
- 24.8 - 355 dollars.
- Price control: right price for the right drug.
 - ↳ increase opioids,
 - decrease more generic drugs.
- can't afford proper health care
- ~~comparing price controls~~

- to find the max price
 - ↳ tech, that's finding the right price for the right drug.
 - ↳ common knowledge as an argument.
- cost benefit analysis framework.
 - ↳ create more drugs
 - ↳ people will always need drugs.
- price control ≠ more lawsuits.
- even the cost of generic drugs are increasing.
- injectable drugs.
- reasonable price ≠ cheaper.

— OVERTIME (X2)

- doesn't have an exact price for the price ceiling.

NEG

- cost benefit analysis



- how did they refute it?

↳ wasn't made necessarily clear.

~~- if you're arguing that generic brands will solve~~

→ argument: generic vs prescription

↳ only problem: not all prescriptions have a generic counterpart.

↳ 2 years of life expectancy will be shorter.

- point of lobbying will be non-unique it will continue to happen regardless.

- price controls won't solve the opioid crisis.

→ harms negation. ~~don't~~ limit choice,

→ failed critical trials

→ harms investing

↳ how? using a source doesn't mean she's agreeing.

- non unique evidence

↳ didn't have evidence.

- just req. creating a max price for the drugs.

82

~~Khamani Griffin - PSA~~

Open Public Forum R4 - 12:00

Room: E3 507

~~Kathy~~ KATHY GONZALEZ2018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 1:30

Ballot Due: ASAP!

Flip for sides: Academy of Higher Learning
JLWKFlip for sides: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership AYHZ

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
NEG	Jaeho Lee	4	27
NEG	Wonjong Ko	3	28

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
APP	Ava Ye	2	29
APP	Helaine Zhao	1	30

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Neg

- Price controls should be opposed.
- ↳ ^{*} societal welfare should be the biggest concern
- ↳ don't work; limit funding
- ↳ new manufacturer difficult
- benefits:
 - cutting prices will lead to projects not being undertaken
 - failed to inform consumers.
 - only worsen problem, resulting in more lawsuits therefore less money
 - want invest less money b/c they want ^{get} more. (already made the argument)
 - ~~make more drugs~~ - make more drugs to save lives.

ELAC

APP

- Americans can't afford prices for wages.
- ↳ some get sicker due to prices.
- opposed epidemic.
- ↳ national crisis
- ↳ 78.5 billion / year
- CF: significant share of their spending.
- ↳ more money for themselves.
- ↳ Topicality:
 - ↳ when much too can save.
 - ↳ economies will be
 - ↳ this is saving
 - ↳ to spend more money.
 - ↳ contributing to both
 - ↳ frameworks.

79 Alex Fu - Kudos Leadershi

Open Public Forum R4 - 12:00

Room: E3 5252018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament**Round Start: 1:30****Ballot Due: ASAP!****Flip for sides:** Academy of Higher Learning
JPSJ

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
Nes	Jun-Woo Pak	4	27
Nes	Soren Jensen	2	28.5

Flip for sides: Flintridge Preparatory LKEG

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
Aff	Lauren Kim	3	28
Aff	Eliza Gunter	1	29.5

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.Low Point Win? no

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Kudos Leadership

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Neg:

C1 accessibility: could've been extended in summary more instead of a few statements, you could have exploited the argument, better in summary instead of reading fallacies. Don't go for turning opioids, since your opponents spent so much time. Spent not enough time on investment and R&D, focus on how when the Aff happens, there will be EVEN LESS innovation

C2 Block Market: Argument is fine, just have statistics on a card that says "we don't know how but the Aff makes things worse." Analytics work too but give wrong

C3 won't help Americans: Spend more time on generic drugs, less on insurance if you have weaker arguments for it. 1st speaker should extend more in summary

Aff:

Definition: Bring this back up since it solves innovation and R&D

C1 Opioids: Good argument, good stats, just cut the econ if your fu is less sound

C2 Healthcare: Spend more time on this argument, since it affects the most people, consider spending less time on opioids, which is smaller.

77

Sylvia Lee - Westridge

Open Public Forum R4 - 12:00

Room: E3 5572018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament**Round Start: 1:30**Con**Ballot Due: ASAP!****Flip for sides:** Wilshire Academy CSCL**Flip for sides:** Kudos College of Youth
Leadership AJIGPro

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
<u>2</u>	Clara Son	<u>2</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>1</u>	Annie Jiang	<u>4</u>	<u>26</u>
<u>1</u>	Christine Lee	<u>1</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>2</u>	Isabel Gan	<u>3</u>	<u>21</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

Slee
JUDGE'S SIGNATUREWestridge
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Clara Son
~~Christine Lee~~ - Great presence & energy, good delivery
 clear, concise, great refutations.

Annie Jiang - Reading off paper too much. Nice pitch/voice
 More eye contact. Good at cross fire, good answers
 Should refute their arguments better.

Christine Lee
~~Clara Son~~ - Great presence, Good emphasis,
 clear speaker, great arguments, tad bit too dramatic
 good refutations (maybe too much levity)

Isabel - Great voice, more eye contact,
 Nice work on demanding a question
 should question their arguments better.

83 Doug Jung - Westridge

Open Public Forum R4 - 12:00

Room: E3 311

2018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 1:30

Ballot Due: ASAP!

Flip for sides: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership SCZX

Flip for sides: Wilshire Academy ALKA

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
A	Seth Chang	4	26	N	Alex Lee	2	28
A	Zhian Xu	3	27	N	Kriste An	1	29

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

KRISTE - I LIKED YOUR TONE & DELIVERY. YOUR CONVERSATIONAL TONE & USE OF ANALOGY CONVEYS FACTS & IDEAS IN A GREAT WAY, BUT BE CAREFUL IT DOESN'T OPEN YOU UP TO EASY REBUTTAL -- IE. YOUR COOKIE ANALOGY WAS GOOD BUT EASILY REBUTED BECAUSE OF ARBITRARY PRICING EXTREMES (\$5 VS. 50¢).

ALEX: LOVED YOUR ENERGY. ~~BE~~ BE CAREFUL THAT YOU DON'T OVERSIMPLIFY ARGUMENTS. AT TIMES, YOU FALL INTO SEMANTIC ARGUMENTS THAT BRING INTO QUESTION YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF A WORD OR TERM (IE. "WHAT OTHER KIND OF COMPANY IS THERE THAN PRIVATE COMPANY? NAME A PUBLIC COMPANY."). THERE ARE PUBLIC COMPANIES IF YOU DEFINE THEM AS TRADED ON STOCK MARKET. SEMANTIC ARGUMENTS FEEL LIKE YOU ARE ~~POINTING OUT THE POINT~~ JUST BRINGING A NAG RATHER THAN MAKING A GOOD POINT. IT BEGINS ON HARASSMENT.

ZHIAN - GOOD ARGUMENTS. BE SURE YOU CAN BACK UP WITH FACTS & REFERENCES. AND I APPRECIATED YOU STICKING UP FOR YOURSELF.

SETH - GOOD DELIVERY. DON'T SPEAK W/ PAPERS IN FACE AND KEEP LAYING ON FACTS.

89 ^{MICHAEL YEE} ~~Martha Cohen~~ - Emerson

Open Public Forum R4 - 12:00

Room: E3 411

2018 SCJFL 2nd Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 1:30

Ballot Due: ASAP!

Flip for sides: Wilshire Academy RKAL

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
8	Roy Kim R	1	29
P	Andrew Lee L	4	26

Flip for sides: Kudos College of Youth
Leadership EPEL

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
C	Ethan Peng L	2	28
C	Ethan Liu R	3	27

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

[Signature]
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

Emerson
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

ETHAN PENG - REMEMBER TO SPEAK SLOWER. ALSO,
MIGHT WANT TO PARE DOWN DATA.

ROY KIM - GOOD EYE CONTACT. PASSION COMES THROUGH.
BUT ALSO REMEMBER TO SLOW DOWN.

ETHAN LIU - GOOD PACING. WORK ON EYE CONTACT

ANDREW LEE - GOOD PROJECTION BUT NEED TO WORK ON
READING ALOUD, CONCENTRATION