

CBSR IE/LD #1 -- Novice LD

Yucaipa, CA

Saturday, October 5, 2013

	ROUND 1	ROUND 2	ROUND 3		
Cajon BM Cajon Brian Mok	L AFF Yucai AQ Osuna Dawn 25	L Neg ClaremGA Marc Frizz 25	W AFF Yucai CO Washington 28	1-2 78.00 25.00	78.00 25.00
Cajon CC Cajon Chelsea Cuervo	W AFF Yuca DMc Bassil Lau 26	W Neg Yucai CO Osuna Dawn 25	L AFF Osos MR Olson Amy 28	2-1 79.00 26.00 6th Seed	79.00 26.00
Cajon HF Cajon Hannah Fejzic	L AFF Osos MR Holland Na 26	L Neg Yucai AM Maraquin K 27	W Neg Yuca DMo Osuna Dawn 27	1-2 80.00 27.00	80.00 27.00 7th Spkr
Citrus Valley DG Citrus Valley Daniel Gutierrez	W AFF Yucai AM Marc Frizz 27	W Neg Yucai KR Graff Apri 28	W AFF Yucai AQ Pielstick 26	3-0 81.00 27.00 2nd Seed	81.00 27.00 4th Spkr
Claremont GA Claremont George Achy	L Neg Yuca DMo Cuervo Zen 26	W AFF CajonBM Marc Frizz 29	L Neg Yucai AM Fejzic Jen 27	1-2 82.00 27.00 8th Seed	82.00 27.00 3rd Spkr
Los Osos HE Los Osos Hannah Eckvahl	W AFF Yucai CO Graff Apri 26	L Neg Yucai AQ Cuervo Zen 26	W AFF Yucai KR Marc Frizz 28	2-1 80.00 26.00 5th Seed	80.00 26.00 8th Spkr
Los Osos MR Los Osos Matthew Ramirez	W Neg CajonHF Holland Na 27	W AFF Yuca DMo Bassil Lau 29	W Neg CajonCC Olson Amy 26	3-0 82.00 27.00 1st Seed	82.00 27.00 2nd Spkr
Yucaipa AM Yucaipa Alexys Moretti	L Neg CVHS DG Marc Frizz 26	W AFF CajonHF Maraquin K 27	W AFF ClaremGA Fejzic Jen 28	2-1 81.00 27.00 4th Seed	81.00 27.00 5th Spkr
Yucaipa AQ Yucaipa Alexis Quintana	W Neg CajonBM Osuna Dawn 26	W AFF Osos HE Cuervo Zen 28	L Neg CVHS DG Pielstick 27	2-1 81.00 27.00 3rd Seed	81.00 27.00 6th Spkr
Yucaipa CO Yucaipa Callee Olivier	L Neg Osos HE Graff Apri 26	L AFF CajonCC Osuna Dawn 25	L Neg CajonBM Washington 26	0-3 77.00 26.00	77.00 26.00
Yucaipa DaMo Yucaipa Danielle Moreno	W AFF ClaremGA Cuervo Zen 28	L Neg Osos MR Bassil Lau 26	L AFF CajonHF Osuna Dawn 25	1-2 79.00 26.00	79.00 26.00
Yucaipa KR Yucaipa Karen Ruiz	W Bye 28	L AFF CVHS DG Graff Apri 27	L Neg Osos HE Marc Frizz 29	1-2 84.00 28.00 7th Seed	84.00 28.00 1st Spkr

AFFIRMATIVE	NEGATIVE	ROOM	JUDGE
Cuervo	McPherson	Q207	Bassil Laura
Eckvahl	Olivier	Q208	Graff April
Fejzic	Ramirez	Q204	Holland Natalie
Gutierrez	Moretti	Q206	Fejzic Jennifer
Mok	Quintana	Q205	Osuna Dawn
Moreno	Achy	Q203	Cuervo Zen
Ruiz	BYE		

Resolved: In a democracy, voting ought to be compulsory.

Room: Q206
Start: 10:15
Novice LD

1

~~Fejzic Jennifer~~

Marc Frizzell

CBSR IE/LD #1

Yucaipa, CA

Saturday, October 5, 2013

Resolved: In a democracy, voting ought to be compulsory.

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Guttierrez

Daniel Guttierrez

27

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Moretti

Alexys Moretti

26

The better debating was done by the Aff from Daniel Guttierrez
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Marc Frizzell

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff

Neg

slow down! - will is basis of
imprecise! govt.
- For govt to run
People must vote
- basis of democ.

- Neg gives little stats to
her opinions
- won't hurt govt - only help.
- const. can be ammended
So we should again.

- stand during cross?
- constitutional right / not
duty.
- more eye contact.
- good examples
- Psychological example
- Statistics - world.
- Bring up stats and example
in rebuttal!
- in the constitution.

Reason for decision:

~~Aff~~ refuted Neg points professionally
and coherently. Went back to the
constitution and provided examples
that supported points

Aff Constructive:	6 mins
Cross-Examination	3 mins
Neg Constructive	7 mins
Cross-Examination	3 mins
1st Aff Rebuttal	4 mins
Neg Rebuttal	6 mins
2nd Aff Rebuttal	3 mins

Prep Time: 3 mins per debater

1.58 AF

CBSR IE/LD #1

Yucaipa, CA

Saturday, October 5, 2013

Resolved: In a democracy, voting ought to be compulsory.

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Fejzic Cajon
Hannah Fejzic

26

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Ramirez Los Osos
Matthew Ramirez

27

The better debating was done by the Neg from Matthew Ramirez
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Natalie Holland

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The aff does mention some good ideas, but suffers from several flaws in argument. In arguing quantity versus quality she does not clearly establish the quality of quantity. She also explains the issue of more republican votes under optional system but not why republican votes are bad. She does mention classism in the voting system, but the alternative suggestions by neg. defeat this argument. More expansion on classism and the Australian model as a very effective voting model would have helped case. Who don't say his evidence is bad because its based on statistics, then use a statistic against that evidence. And don't say "I believe."

Neg. is better able to explain why freedom of choice is necessary in participation in a democracy. Violation of freedoms, quality in choice, and protest voting stood well. Still had problems: voting is not just gov. structure, it can personally effect individuals, and the British Health Care example was absolutely terrible.

Aff Constructive:	6 mins
Cross-Examination	3 mins
Neg Constructive	7 mins
Cross-Examination	3 mins
1 st Aff Rebuttal	4 mins
Neg Rebuttal	6 mins
2 nd Aff Rebuttal	3 mins

Prep Time: 3 mins per debater

Room: Q207
Start: 10:15
Novice LD

1

Bassil Laura

CBSR IE/LD #1
Yucaipa, CA
Saturday, October 5, 2013

Resolved: In a democracy, voting ought to be compulsory.

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Cuervo

Chelsea Cuervo

26

NEGATIVE

POINTS

McPherson

David McPherson

25

The better debating was done by the AFF from Cuervo
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Laura Bassil

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I chose the affirmative side because she clearly defended all her contentions.

Aff Constructive: 6 mins
Cross-Examination 3 mins
Neg Constructive 7 mins
Cross-Examination 3 mins
1st Aff Rebuttal 4 mins
Neg Rebuttal 6 mins
2nd Aff Rebuttal 3 mins

Prep Time: 3 mins per debater

CBSR IE/LD #1

Yucaipa, CA

Saturday, October 5, 2013

Resolved: In a democracy, voting ought to be compulsory.

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Moreno

Danielle Moreno

28

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Achy

George Achy

26

The better debating was done by the Aff from Danielle Moreno
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Zen Cuervo

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Danielle clearly stated her case each time and was the better prepared of the two. She was clear and concise. At the beginning of each of her turns she laid out what she was going to talk about. Her rebuttals were firm and she was able to show her opponent's weaknesses in his arguments. She should not rock from side to side as this is a bit distracting but she was prepared and did look at her audience an appropriate amount of time. George was not strong enough in his arguments and his rebuttals were a bit weak. He did have good information. He just needs to develop his arguments more and build stronger rebuttals.

Aff Constructive:	6 mins
Cross-Examination	3 mins
Neg Constructive	7 mins
Cross-Examination	3 mins
1 st Aff Rebuttal	4 mins
Neg Rebuttal	6 mins
2 nd Aff Rebuttal	3 mins

Prep Time: 3 mins per debater

CBSR IE/LD #1
Yucaipa, CA
Saturday, October 5, 2013

Resolved: In a democracy, voting ought to be compulsory.

AFFIRMATIVE	POINTS	NEGATIVE	POINTS
Eckvahl		Olivier	
Hannah Eckvahl	<u>26</u>	Callee Olivier	<u>26</u>
The better debating was done by the <u>Aff</u> from <u>Hannah Eckvahl</u>			
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME			
Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____			
Signed: <u>April Graff</u>			

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Affirm

- Had clear content/Value/criterion
- Able to cross examine effectively
- Encourage to make eye contact
- Did a better job defining: defending her case
- Organized

Negative

- Made some eye contact
- Familiar w/ her case
- Struggled w/ cross examination
- ~~Challenged~~ for future would be beneficial to have information organized (flipped thru papers, scattered papers)
- May want to use prep time to organize collect thoughts

Aff Constructive:	6 mins
Cross-Examination	3 mins
Neg Constructive	7 mins
Cross-Examination	3 mins
1 st Aff Rebuttal	4 mins
Neg Rebuttal	6 mins
2 nd Aff Rebuttal	3 mins
Prep Time:	3 mins per debater

CBSR IE/LD #1

Yucaipa, CA

Saturday, October 5, 2013

Resolved: In a democracy, voting ought to be compulsory.

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Mok

Brian Mok

25

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Quintana

Alexis Quintana

24

The better debating was done by the Neg from Quintana
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The Neg won this round because although the Aff presented his case, he did not correct or refute when Neg incorrectly restated Aff contentions.

The Neg won because she did stand her ground regarding contentions and values although very confusing on which was which used interchangeably in this round. There was not much clash during this round.

Remember to keep track of your time with a timer.

No New information or topics in rebuttal

Aff did not support case or refute what Neg presented.

Aff Constructive:	6 mins ✓
Cross-Examination	3 mins ✓
Neg Constructive	7 mins ✓
Cross-Examination	3 mins ✓
1 st Aff Rebuttal	4 mins ✓
Neg Rebuttal	6 mins ✓
2 nd Aff Rebuttal	3 mins

Prep Time: 3 mins per debater

CBSR IE/LD #1 -- RD 2 -- DIV: NOVICE LD Start: 12:20

AFFIRMATIVE	NEGATIVE	ROOM	JUDGE
Achy	Mok	Q205	Boydston Scott
McPherson	BYE		
Moretti	Fejzic	Q204	Maraquin Kandin
Olivier	Cuervo	Q207	Osuna Dawn
Quintana	Eckvahl	Q208	Cuervo Zen
Ramirez	Moreno	Q203	Bassil Laura
Ruiz	Gutierrez	Q206	Graff April

Resolved: In a democracy, voting ought to be compulsory.

CBSR IE/LD #1
Yucaipa, CA
Saturday, October 5, 2013

Resolved: In a democracy, voting ought to be compulsory.

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Olivier

Callee Olivier

25

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Cuervo

Chelsea Cuervo

25

The better debating was done by the Neg from Cuervo
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The neg won this round because they were able to present their contentions and support both criterion and value. Structure your case in a way that will negate the Aff more clearly. Well done during cross x to have Aff agree with your side.
Aff • During your cross ex and Rebuttal be sure to be clear and know what points your opponent has made so you can effectively support your side and refute theirs

Aff Constructive:	6 mins
Cross-Examination	3 mins
Neg Constructive	7 mins
Cross-Examination	3 mins
1 st Aff Rebuttal	4 mins
Neg Rebuttal	6 mins
2 nd Aff Rebuttal	3 mins
Prep Time:	3 mins per debater

Room: Q203
Start: 12:20
Novice LD

2

Bassil Laura

CBSR IE/LD #1

Yucaipa, CA

Saturday, October 5, 2013

Resolved: In a democracy, voting ought to be compulsory.

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Ramirez

Matthew Ramirez

29

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Moreno

Danielle Moreno

26

The better debating was done by the

Aff

from

Matthew Ramirez

AFF or NEG

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed:

Laura Bassil

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Voted for affirmative overall because he had a clear concise case. He justified every contention extremely well. His rebuttals were excellent and use of sources quite amazing.

Aff Constructive: 6 mins
Cross-Examination 3 mins
Neg Constructive 7 mins
Cross-Examination 3 mins
1st Aff Rebuttal 4 mins
Neg Rebuttal 6 mins
2nd Aff Rebuttal 3 mins

Prep Time: 3 mins per debater.

CBSR IE/LD #1

Yucaipa, CA

Saturday, October 5, 2013

Resolved: In a democracy, voting ought to be compulsory.

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Quintana

Eckvahl

Alexis Quintana

Hannah Eckvahl

28

26

The better debating was done by the

Aff

from

Alexis Quintana

AFF or NEG

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Cuervo Zen

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Although Hannah spoke in a clearer manner, Alexis was a more convincing debater. Hannah was unable to give a good argument for her stance. Her rebuttal was from a philosophical angle rather than a concrete one. Alexis showed how society would advance and be impacted by compulsory voting. Hannah on the other hand was abstract in her argument. Hannah does have a strong voice that can be clearly heard and understood. She needs to develop her argument on facts not on abstract emotions. Alexis was able to show the many holes in Hannah's argument.

Aff Constructive:	6 mins
Cross-Examination	3 mins
Neg Constructive	7 mins
Cross-Examination	3 mins
1 st Aff Rebuttal	4 mins
Neg Rebuttal	6 mins
2 nd Aff Rebuttal	3 mins

Prep Time: 3 mins per debater

CBSR IE/LD #1

Yucaipa, CA

Saturday, October 5, 2013

Resolved: In a democracy, voting ought to be compulsory.

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Ruiz

Karen Ruiz

21

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Gutierrez

Daniel Gutierrez

28

The better debating was done by the Neg from Daniel Gutierrez
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: April Graff

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- Both sides made good eye contact, were organized and clearly stated their criterion, definitions: contentions
- I sided with neg because Aff did not as clearly dispute neg contentions: did a better job representing Contentions
- Both sides listed sources
- Only constructive feedback is w/experience to be more familiar w/ format/times, etc.
- Very hard to decide as both did a good job representing their side: rebutting the opposing side.

Aff Constructive:	6 mins
Cross-Examination	3 mins
Neg Constructive	7 mins
Cross-Examination	3 mins
1 st Aff Rebuttal	4 mins
Neg Rebuttal	6 mins
2 nd Aff Rebuttal	3 mins

Prep Time: 3 mins per debater

CBSR IE/LD #1

Marc Frizzell

Yucaipa, CA

Saturday, October 5, 2013

Resolved: In a democracy, voting ought to be compulsory.

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Achy

Mok

George Achy

29

Brian Mok

25

The better debating was done by the AFF from George Achy
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Marc Frizzell

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF

Neg

- educate Americans
- voting necessary for democracy
- if no voting, not true will of people
- redeemis right to vote.

- violates feeling of freedom
- ethical egotism
- uneducated people will lead to biased vote
- More eye contact
- More emotion, pauses

- Great professionalism
- More eye contact

Reason for decision

Aff had solid points and was able to refute all of Neg points. Neg was unable to provide information from constitution. Neg was unable to refute Aff points coherently.

Aff Constructive: 6 mins
Cross-Examination 3 mins
Neg Constructive 7 mins
Cross-Examination 3 mins
1st Aff Rebuttal 4 mins
Neg Rebuttal 6 mins
2nd Aff Rebuttal 3 mins

Prep Time: 3 mins per debater

CBSR IE/LD #1

Yucaipa, CA

Saturday, October 5, 2013

Resolved: In a democracy, voting ought to be compulsory.

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Moretti

Yucaipa

Alexys Moretti

27

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Fejzic

Cajon

Hannah Fejzic

27

The better debating was done by the AFF from Moretti, Yucaipa
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Carlos Murgas

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Both sides had exemplary analysis of the resolution's roots; some critical links to why gov. needs participation were missing ~~aff~~ on AFF side, just as legitimacy in the gov. were missing on NEG. Ask yourself, both of you, when constructing arguments, "Why?" Ask that of every conclusion you come to until you can't ask that anymore, and then you will have reached the true clash in the round.

OVERALL, I'm forced to give it to the AFF on basis of flow cover; Alexys's logical arguments of basic understanding being enough & gov't providing protection were enough to tip the scale. (LOSE THOUGHT!)

Aff Constructive: 6 mins
Cross-Examination 3 mins
Neg Constructive 7 mins
Cross-Examination 3 mins
1st Aff Rebuttal 4 mins
Neg Rebuttal 6 mins
2nd Aff Rebuttal 3 mins

Prep Time: 3 mins per debater

CBSR IE/LD #1 -- RD 3 -- DIV: NOVICE LD Start: 2:15

AFFIRMATIVE	NEGATIVE	ROOM	JUDGE
Cuervo	Ramirez	Q203	Graff April
Eckvahl	Ruiz	Q206	Marc Frizzell
Gutierrez	Quintana	Q208	Pielstick Fritz
Mok	Olivier	Q207	Bassil Laura
Moreno	Fejzic	Q204	Osuna Dawn
Moretti	Achy	Q205	Fejzic Jennifer

Resolved: In a democracy, voting ought to be compulsory.

CBSR IE/LD #1

Yucaipa, CA

Saturday, October 5, 2013

Resolved: In a democracy, voting ought to be compulsory.

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Moreno

Danielle Moreno

25

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Fejzic

Hannah Fejzic

27

The better debating was done by the Neg from Fejzic
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The Neg won their case because the Aff was unable to put answers "Donot how to put into words"
The aff did not support her position during cross ex,
Neg also won because she was able to establish and support contentions with little to no contest from Aff.
Neg was able to answer and support position during CX from Aff.

Room: Q207
Start: 2:15
Novice LD

3

~~Bassil Laura~~
Mitchell Washington

CBSR IE/LD #1
Yucaipa, CA
Saturday, October 5, 2013

Resolved: In a democracy, voting ought to be compulsory.

AFFIRMATIVE	POINTS	NEGATIVE	POINTS
Mok		Olivier	
Brian Mok	28	Callee Olivier	26
The better debating was done by the <u>Aff</u> from <u>Brian Mok</u>			
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME			
Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____			
Signed: <u>Mitchell Washington</u>			

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The Neg did not clearly refute the Aff's point ... "more people voting means more will of the people" So the Aff won...

Aff 6
CX 3
Neg 7
CX 3
Aff 4
Neg 6
Aff 3
Prep: 3

Room: Q205
Start: 2:15
Novice LD

3

Fejzic Jennifer

CBSR IE/LD #1

Yucaipa, CA

Saturday, October 5, 2013

Resolved: In a democracy, voting ought to be compulsory.

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Moretti

Alexys Moretti

28

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Achy

George Achy

27

The better debating was done by the Aff from Alexys Moretti
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Both sides did well and this was a lively and engaging debate. Aff won because she used all of the time she was allocated and she held up her contentions + value throughout. The Neg did well too and asked good questions. Both sides had good speaking skills and excellent poise and debate etiquette. It was a ~~close debate~~ close debate - both are excellent debaters and were well prepared on this topic.

CBSR IE/LD #1

Yucaipa, CA

Saturday, October 5, 2013

Resolved: In a democracy, voting ought to be compulsory.

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Gutierrez

Daniel Gutierrez

26

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Quintana

Alexis Quintana

27

The better debating was done by the Aff from Gutierrez
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: X

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- Aff
- Aff case is really disorganized.
 - A lot of your cross-ex "questions" are statements, not questions. It's kind of awkward and off-putting.

- Neg
- The link between your contention - level args + value criterion is pretty weak. Your args in the contention are more of a laundry list of reasons why mandatory voting is bad; it has little relevance to self-actualization.
 - Neg case is WAY too long. You don't leave enough time to answer the AC.
 - The constitution doesn't matter. The res is not US-specific.

RFD:

Neg does not address the argument that society cannot progress if the government doesn't have input from as many people as possible.

Room: Q203
Start: 2:15
Novice LD

3

~~Graff April~~

Olson Amy
REV

CBSR IE/LD #1

Yucaipa, CA

Saturday, October 5, 2013

Resolved: In a democracy, voting ought to be compulsory.

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Cuervo

Chelsea Cuervo

28

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Ramirez

Matthew Ramirez

26

The better debating was done by the Neg from Matthew Ramirez
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: ☒

Signed: Amy Olson

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Affirmative had better delivery & both opponents were well prepared, however the points for the Negative were stronger & more substantive and consistent.

Matthew - slow down!

Affirmative argument that rules of LD debate were violated are invalid - good guidelines, but not rule violations
Negative rebuttal was clear & concise.

Great debate - you should both be very proud.

CBSR IE/LD #1

Yucaipa, CA

Saturday, October 5, 2013

Resolved: In a democracy, voting ought to be compulsory.

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Eckvahl

Hannah Eckvahl

28

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Ruiz

Karen Ruiz

29

The better debating was done by the AFF from Hannah Eckvahl
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: ☒

Signed: Marc Frizzell

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF

Both very good!

Neg

Maximize citizen participation
Increase turn out
Improves legitimacy of democracy
Protects citizens
social contract allows contingency
legitimate democracy more important
than the people

- No eye contact!
- Unprofessional posture!
- great voice and emotion!

Both

- Slow Down!
- Had 1 minute to spare!
- use time for rebuttal to refute opponents case! Don't just talk about why you should win.

- cross! Biased voting.
- undermines democratic values
 - would force domination
- survival of democracy depends upon choice.
- we have the right to vote, forcing does not follow democracy.
- Great eye contact!
- Annunciate!

- Had all materials down to page #5!
good!
- good emotion!

→