

Round 3 Flt _____ Room Q101 Judge Carol Eatough

CBSR Public Forum Debate Ballot

Pro Team code DDWR

Con Team code DDEL

Speaker #1 name:	<u>Robert R.</u>	Speaker points <u>27</u>
Speaker #3 name:	<u>Darius W.</u>	<u>26</u>

Speaker #2 name:	<u>Pierre E.</u>	Speaker points <u>24</u>
Speaker #4 name:	<u>Marco L.</u>	<u>26</u>

Rate each speaker from 20 – 30 points: 20-23 Below average 24-26 Average 27-28 Above average 29-30 Outstanding LPW

The winning team was the ☐ Pro ☒ Con Team code: DDEL

Comments to debaters:

- Packs are ~~not~~ made up general population

Comments to debaters:

- Superpacks do not determine a candidates victory. Use better eye contact.

Reason for Decision: (please cite specific arguments that had a bearing on the outcome)

Violation of Civil Rights, 1st Amendment.
It is a loophole to ~~not~~ influence American Politics.

Order/Time of Speeches
Pro #1 4 min/
Con #2 4 min/
Crossfire 3 min/
Pro #3 4 min/
Con #4 4 min/
Crossfire 3 min/
Pro #1 summary 2 min/
Con #2 summary 2 min/
Grand Crossfire 3 min/
Pro #3 final focus 2 min/
Con #4 final focus 2 min/
(2 min of Prep Time per side)

Please sign your ballot to certify your decision

Carol Eatough
Signature
School affiliation YHS

Round 3 Flt _____ Room P-10

Judge Anthony P. B...
Jeff Schiffris

CBSR Public Forum Debate Ballot

Pro Team code DDTS

Con Team code BBNK

Speaker #1 name:	<u>SHARILEE TURRICO</u>	Speaker points <u>27</u>
Speaker #3 name:	<u>MALURAY SALDANA</u>	<u>28</u>

Speaker #2 name:	<u>NICHELLE KO</u>	Speaker points <u>28</u>
Speaker #4 name:	<u>NIKHIL NAGPAL</u>	<u>28</u>

Rate each speaker from 20 – 30 points: 20-23 Below average 24-26 Average 27-28 Above average 29-30 Outstanding

The winning team was the ☐ Pro ☒ Con

Team code: BONK

Comments to debaters:

Comments to debaters:

Reason for Decision: (please cite specific arguments that had a bearing on the outcome)

In the end, the pro was less organized than the con. Somehow the con was able to make their argument stick that Obama won the election despite the superpacs greater spending on Romney. The pro side was also hurt by not quite getting the relevance of this to the 2012 election.

Order/Time of Speeches
Pro #1 4 min
Con #2 4 min
Crossfire 3 min
Pro #3 4 min
Con #4 4 min
Crossfire 3 min
Pro #1 summary 2 min
Con #2 summary 2 min
Grand Crossfire 3 min
Pro #3 final focus 2 min
Con #4 final focus 2 min
(2 min of Prep Time per side)

Please sign your ballot to certify your decision

Signature

School affiliation

CLAREMONT

Round 3 Flt _____Room P-8Judge Martin Bustos ^{6101 SE} Bigway

CBSR Public Forum Debate Ballot

Pro Team code DDMBCon Team code CCRB

Speaker #1	Speaker points
name: <u>Ashley McGrew</u>	<u>29</u>
Speaker #3	
name: <u>Natalie Bacc</u>	<u>28</u>

Speaker #2	Speaker points
name: <u>Tony</u>	<u>27</u>
Speaker #4	
name: <u>Cesar</u>	<u>26</u>

Rate each speaker from 20 – 30 points: 20-23 Below average 24-26 Average 27-28 Above average 29-30 Outstanding

The winning team was the ☒ Pro ☐ ConTeam code: DDMB

Comments to debaters:

~~pro had best~~

Ashley & Natalie, both had very informative ~~info~~ case. were asked good question during crossfire. Basically stamp the opponents

Comments to debaters:

Cesar presented a good presentation. Quite confuse on crossfire question or just didn't answer them.

Tony - Had good information, But kept switching side, during the crossfire.

Reason for Decision: (please cite specific arguments that had a bearing on the outcome)

Pro team had a stronger case and more information. And the crossfire was done very well.

Order/Time of Speeches

Pro #1 4 min
 Con #2 4 min
 Crossfire 3 min
 Pro #3 4 min
 Con #4 4 min
 Crossfire 3 min
 Pro #1 summary 2 min
 Con #2 summary 2 min
 Grand Crossfire 3 min
 Pro #3 final focus 2 min
 Con #4 final focus 2 min
 (2 min of Prep Time per side)

Please sign your ballot to certify your decision Glenn Bigway

Signature

School affiliation Yucatan

Round 3 Flt _____ Room P-6 Judge Diane McGraw

CBSR Public Forum Debate Ballot

Pro Team code AAJB

Con Team code CCSW

Speaker #	name:	Speaker points
Speaker #1	<u>Nancy Jung</u>	<u>25</u>
Speaker #3	<u>Captain Becker</u>	<u>28</u>

Speaker #	name:	Speaker points
Speaker #2	<u>Shannon Starkard</u>	<u>24</u>
Speaker #4	<u>Lindsey Wirtz</u>	<u>25</u>

Rate each speaker from 20 - 30 points: 20-23 Below average 24-26 Average 27-28 Above average 29-30 Outstanding

The winning team was the ☒ Pro ☐ Con Team code: AAJB

Comments to debaters:

1- Too fast, bad from report.
Quotes statistics - good
Hard to keep track of
topic. Confuses other team
2 Fast talk.

C- Too fast - Statistics too
Confusing.

bad ans. on cross. Speechway - No time for rebuttal
by opposing team.

Comments to debaters:

5- Speaks slower + w/ emphasis
Can understand subject matter
Can not ans. questions.

4- Repeats, Speaks well
for understanding.

Reason for Decision: (please cite specific arguments that had a bearing on the outcome)

AAJB - Too fast, too many specific
Statistics, hard to follow the
agenda
CCSW - More understanding w/ their
speech. Did not have good
answers or rebuttals.

Order/Time of Speeches	
Pro #1	4 min
Con #2	4 min
Crossfire	3 min
Pro #3	4 min
Con #4	4 min
Crossfire	3 min
Pro #1 summary	2 min
Con #2 summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire	3 min
Pro #3 final focus	2 min
Con #4 final focus	2 min
(2 min of Prep Time per side)	

Please sign your ballot to certify your decision

D. McGraw
Signature

School affiliation

Carter

Round 3 Flt _____ Room P-7 Judge Anthony Baca

CBSR Public Forum Debate Ballot

Pro Team code AASC

Con Team code AAKM

Speaker #1 name:	Speaker points
<u>Dominic Schnabel</u>	<u>27</u>
Speaker #3 name:	Speaker points
<u>Kaveri Curlin</u>	<u>28</u>

Speaker #2 name:	Speaker points
<u>Daniel Knudsen</u>	<u>28</u>
Speaker #4 name:	Speaker points
<u>Liz Maine</u>	<u>29</u>

Rate each speaker from 20 – 30 points: 20-23 Below average 24-26 Average 27-28 Above average 29-30 Outstanding

The winning team was the ☐ Pro ☒ Con Team code: _____

Comments to debaters:
Dominic Schnabel - 1st Round spoke to fast, 2nd Round much better tempo, Good Eye Contact

Kaveri Curlin - Good Eye Contact, Good Rhetorical Skill, Good Speaking Tempo.

Comments to debaters:
Daniel Knudsen - Good Speaking tempo, Good Eye Contact, Good Rhetorical Skill.

Liz Maine - Good Rhetorical Skill, Good Eye Contact, Good Speaking Skills

Reason for Decision: (please cite specific arguments that had a bearing on the outcome)
The Reason for my Decision was based on the Team's Debating Skills. Both teams did a great job, Arguing their point. On Balance the Supreme Courts Decision in Citizens United vs Federal Election Commission Harms the Election Process.

Order/Time of Speeches	
Pro #1	4 min
Con #2	4 min
Crossfire	3 min
Pro #3	4 min
Con #4	4 min
Crossfire	3 min
Pro #1 summary	2 min
Con #2 summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire	3 min
Pro #3 final focus	2 min
Con #4 final focus	2 min
(2 min of Prep Time per side)	

Please sign your ballot to certify your decision

Anthony Baca
Signature
School affiliation Carte: High School

Round 3 Flt _____Room Q102Judge Minh Dang

CBSR Public Forum Debate Ballot

Pro Team code

DDBA

Con Team code

CCCS

Speaker #1 name: a	Speaker points 0
<u>Alvarez</u>	<u>26</u>
Speaker #3 name: b	Speaker points 0
<u>Marquez</u>	<u>28</u>

Speaker #2 name: a	Speaker points 0
<u>Chasen</u>	<u>26</u>
Speaker #4 name: b	Speaker points 0
<u>Smiley</u>	<u>27</u>

Rate each speaker from 20 – 30 points: 20-23 Below average 24-26 Average 27-28 Above average 29-30 Outstanding

The winning team was the



Pro



Con

Team code:

Comments to debaters:

1a Just read speech - 3.0
 Confir - 0
 1b Present data ultra - 3.50
 Good arg/counterarg
 Confir - Good arg
 1.a - 1.00
 Good for bad question stat?
 1.b - 1.50

→ well prepare - Good Flu & counterarg.

Comments to debaters:

1a Just read speech - 3.0
 Good confir push & follow-up
 2b Not too short
 1b counterarg - 3.00
 Confir - Good counterarg
 But poor question
 2.a - 1.50
 Confir - Not able to give stat.
 2.b - 2 small stat / Good counter - 2.11.
 → well prepare - Need to have more confidence in defending case.

Reason for Decision: (please cite specific arguments that had a bearing on the outcome)

More facts/data given
 Crossfire Flu pertinent.
 Use time efficiently

Order/Time of Speeches

Pro #1 4 min
 Con #2 4 min
 Crossfire 3 min
 Pro #3 4 min
 Con #4 4 min
 Crossfire 3 min
 Pro #1 summary 2 min
 Con #2 summary 2 min
 Grand Crossfire 3 min
 Pro #3 final focus 2 min
 Con #4 final focus 2 min
 (2 min of Prep Time per side)

Please sign your ballot to certify your decision

Minh Dang

Signature

School affiliation

GOHS