

Round 2 Flt Room Q201Judge Rene Knudsen

CBSR Parliamentary Debate Ballot

Resolution:

**Proposition
code**

E-WS

**Opposition
code**

C-VF

Speaker
points
8Speaker
points
8Prime Minister / 1st Proname: Cody

28

Leader of Opposition / 1st Oppname: Liberty

27

Member of Government / 2nd Proname: William

27

Member of Opposition / 2nd Oppname: Yvonne

26

Rate each speaker from 20 – 30 points: 20-23 Below average 24-26 Average 27-28 Above average 29-30 Outstanding

 The winning team was the ☐ Prop ☒ Opp
Code: C-VF
Comments to debaters:

Both debaters put on good arguments. ~~The opposition~~ Cody started strong and presented his case well in his opening ~~the positive rebuttal~~ and presented smoothly. William re-iterated his partner's argument and added to it. ~~One note~~ I thought William & Cody bordered on rude. When the neg was speaking their reactions were extreme & distracting. I did not count them down for this but they do need to be aware of this.

Comments to debaters:

Liberty presented a strong argument. She had poise and she was organized however she needs to watch the "ums." Speaker points would have been higher if she had been more aware. Her rebuttal on the aff's content were very good.

Yvonne was not as organized and she focused too much on one contention. She spoke well. ~~She~~ She shows a lot of potential.

Reason for Decision: (please cite specific arguments that had a bearing on the outcome)

This was a difficult decision. Both sides presented good arguments. The neg successfully disproved the aff's main contention. The aff presented one point (the sildase) the later rebutted it as a bad thing.

Order/Time of Speeches

Prop constructive	7 min
Opp constructive	7 min
Prop	7 min
Opp	7 min
Opp rebuttal	5 min
Prop rebuttal	5 min

(There is no prep time in Parl)

Please sign your ballot to certify your decision



Signature

School affiliation

Claremont

Round 2 Flt _____ Room Q209 Judge Norma Perez

CBSR Parliamentary Debate Ballot

Resolution:

Proposition code E-BP

Opposition code M-PB

Speaker points	
	0
Prime Minister / 1 st Pro	
name: <u>Sootaakii Bigwalr</u>	<u>25</u>
Member of Government / 2 nd Pro	
name: <u>Madison Polk</u>	<u>25</u>

Speaker points	
	0
Leader of Opposition / 1 st Opp	
name: <u>Ioren Bassil</u>	<u>26</u>
Member of Opposition / 2 nd Opp	
name: <u>Ayetzy Presa</u>	<u>25</u>

Rate each speaker from 20 – 30 points: 20-23 Below average 24-26 Average 27-28 Above average 29-30 Outstanding

The winning team was the ☐ Prop ☒ Opp Code: M-PB

Comments to debaters:

- Look more into the supporting evidence.
- Stand your ground.
- assure the opposing team your evidence is legit.

Comments to debaters:

- good points, more confirmation of your sources would help.
- ~~Back~~ assure the other team your evidence is legit.

Reason for Decision: (please cite specific arguments that had a bearing on the outcome)

- stood their ground.
- fair points
- had a very well counter example.

Order/Time of Speeches

Prop constructive	7 min
Opp constructive	7 min
Prop rebuttal	7 min
Opp rebuttal	7 min
Prop rebuttal	5 min
Opp rebuttal	5 min

(There is no prep time in Parl)

Please sign your ballot to certify your decision

Signature

School affiliation

Carter High School

Round 2 Flt _____ Room Q105 Judge Natalie Bargias

CBSR **Parliamentary** Debate Ballot

Resolution:

Proposition code A · WC

Opposition code E · BW

Prime Minister / 1 st Pro	Speaker points 0
name: <u>Jahnayicurlin</u>	<u>28</u>
Member of Government / 2 nd Pro	
name: <u>Madison Weigand</u>	<u>27</u>

Leader of Opposition / 1 st Opp	Speaker points 0
name: <u>Elar Barr</u>	<u>27</u>
Member of Opposition / 2 nd Opp	
name: <u>Senia Williams</u>	<u>28</u>

Rate each speaker from 20 – 30 points: 20-23 Below average 24-26 Average 27-28 Above average 29-30 Outstanding

The winning team was the ☒ Prop ☐ Opp Code: A · WC

Comments to debaters:

They were really convincing.
great speakers.
No negative comments.
Continue on doing what
you do and you will
get better. On the right
track.

Comments to debaters:

You guys were convincing
as well as the other team.
~~However~~ Although ~~that~~ I
voted theirs you did great.
No negative comments.

Reason for Decision: (please cite specific arguments that had a bearing on the outcome)

They not only proved a great point but they also
~~more~~ understood ~~more~~ the other teams situation.

Order/Time of Speeches

Prop constructive	7 min
Opp constructive	7 min
Prop	7 min
Opp	7 min
Opp rebuttal	5 min
Prop rebuttal	5 min

(There is no prep time in Parli)

Please sign your ballot to certify your decision

Natalie Bargias
Signature
School affiliation Carter High School

Round 2 Flt _____ Room Q 202 Judge Kevin Reed

CBSR Parliamentary Debate Ballot

Resolution:

Proposition code B · VM

Opposition code A · GL

Prime Minister / 1st Pro
name: CLOVIS VINANT-TANG 30
Member of Government / 2nd Pro
name: KEVIN MANN 27

Leader of Opposition / 1st Opp
name: ANDREW LOPEZ 30
Member of Opposition / 2nd Opp
name: GAVIN GREENE 29

Rate each speaker from 20 – 30 points: 20-23 Below average 24-26 Average 27-28 Above average 29-30 Outstanding

The winning team was the ☐ Prop ☒ Opp Code: A · GL

Comments to debaters:
C-VINANT-TANG PROVIDED
GOOD POINTS.
K-MANN VERY GOOD PRESENTATION

Comments to debaters:
A-LOPEZ IS A VERY GOOD
PRESENTER. HE WAS APT
CONCISE IN PRESENTING
HIS POINTS. (GREAT SPEAKER)
G-GREENE PRESENTED FACTS
AND SPEAKS WELL. PRESENTED
GOOD POINTS.

Reason for Decision: (please cite specific arguments that had a bearing on the outcome)
BOTH TEAMS WERE VERY GOOD. OPPOSITION
WAS MORE CONVINCING ARGUMENT. THE
OPPOSITION REBUTAL WAS GREAT IN PRESENTING
FACTS.

Order/Time of Speeches
Prop constructive 7 min
Opp constructive 7 min
Prop 7 min
Opp 7 min
Opp rebuttal 5 min
Prop rebuttal 5 min
(There is no prep time in Parl)

Please sign your ballot to certify your decision [Signature] Signature

School affiliation HEMSHIRE

Round 2 Flt _____ Room Q 104 Judge Minh Dang

CBSR Parliamentary Debate Ballot

Resolution: This house would rather move East than West

Proposition code M.O.S

Opposition code A.CS

Prime Minister / 1 st Pro name: <u>Ottoman</u>	Speaker points: <u>28</u>
Member of Government / 2 nd Pro name: <u>Schuff</u>	Speaker points: <u>28</u>

Leader of Opposition / 1 st Opp name: <u>Safety</u>	Speaker points: <u>27</u>
Member of Opposition / 2 nd Opp name: <u>Cardenas</u>	Speaker points: <u>27</u>

Rate each speaker from 20 – 30 points: 20-23 Below average 24-26 Average 27-28 Above average 29-30 Outstanding

The winning team was the ☒ Prop ☐ Opp Code: M.O.S

Comments to debaters:

1- Central → East SW
→ West. CW
Higgins - Sppt.
City like NYC vs Hollywood
Taxes? Sits. Repeat argument for NYC again.
Good speaker - Poor argument.
2- Smithsonian → Pennsylvania?!
→ it's in DC
7min.
3- Smie

Comments to debaters:

1- good counter argument.
West - more liberal
Lower employment rate?!
3:53.
2- Sppt - not amused by ♀?
liberal - free? So construction not free?
Marijuana - good b/c taxes
Medit OK. ? revenue
4min.
1- Success = 14/19 → ?
West better economy than west?
3:20

Reason for Decision: (please cite specific arguments that had a bearing on the outcome)

Be careful to give numbers & data that are not correct.
Museum #. Taxes. employment. location. prop
Better argumentation & use of time for all thought
some facts / numbers and both sides were not accurate.

Order/Time of Speeches

Prop constructive 7 min
Opp constructive 7 min
Prop 7 min
Opp 7 min
Opp rebuttal 5 min
Prop rebuttal 5 min

(There is no prep time in Parl)

Please sign your ballot to certify your decision

Minh Dang
Signature

School affiliation GOHS

Round 2 Flt _____ Room Q2D7 Judge Jeff Heitmann

CBSR **Parliamentary** Debate Ballot

Resolution: THIS HOUSE WOULD RATHER MOVE EAST THAN WEST.

Proposition code C · MD

Opposition code A · RH

	Speaker points 0
Prime Minister / 1 st Pro name: <u>MATT MANSOUR</u>	<u>26</u>
Member of Government / 2 nd Pro name: <u>GIDEON ONOAP</u>	<u>27</u>

	Speaker points 0
Leader of Opposition / 1 st Opp name: <u>ALEX HAN</u>	<u>28</u>
Member of Opposition / 2 nd Opp name: <u>DWARAK REDDY</u>	<u>26</u>

Rate each speaker from 20 – 30 points: 20-23 Below average 24-26 Average 27-28 Above average 29-30 Outstanding

The winning team was the ☐ Prop ☒ Opp Code: A · RH

Comments to debaters:	Comments to debaters:
MATT: DID A GOOD JOB DEFINING ORIGINAL RESOLUTION PROVIDING SPECIFIC EXAMPLES. HAD GOOD KNOWLEDGE OF THE CASE. SPOKE WELL GIDEON: GOOD SUGGESTION TO REDEFINE THE ORIGINAL RESOLUTION. DID A GOOD JOB IN SPEAKING TO THE OP NEG'S P.O.C'S WITHOUT ANY PREP FOR THAT TOPIC.	ALEX: DID A VERY GOOD JOB SPEAKING. DEFENDED THE RESOLUTION AS A "SPECIFIC KNOWLEDGE" CASE VERY WELL. GREAT JOB. DWARAK: DID A GOOD JOB DEFINING P.O.C'S - CONTINUE WORKING ON SMOOTH DELIVERY OF POINTS.

Reason for Decision: (please cite specific arguments that had a bearing on the outcome)	Order/Time of Speeches												
THE OPPOSITION DID A GREAT JOB PROVING THAT THE ORIGINAL RESOLUTION REQUIRED "SPECIFIC KNOWLEDGE" THAT INTELLIGENT LAYMEN WOULD NOT POSSESS.	<table><tr><td>Prop constructive</td><td>7 min</td></tr><tr><td>Opp constructive</td><td>7 min</td></tr><tr><td>Prop</td><td>7 min</td></tr><tr><td>Opp</td><td>7 min</td></tr><tr><td>Opp rebuttal</td><td>5 min</td></tr><tr><td>Prop rebuttal</td><td>5 min</td></tr></table> (There is no prep time in Parl!)	Prop constructive	7 min	Opp constructive	7 min	Prop	7 min	Opp	7 min	Opp rebuttal	5 min	Prop rebuttal	5 min
Prop constructive	7 min												
Opp constructive	7 min												
Prop	7 min												
Opp	7 min												
Opp rebuttal	5 min												
Prop rebuttal	5 min												

Please sign your ballot to certify your decision

Jeff Heitmann
Signature
School affiliation YUCAIPA

Round 2 Flt _____ Room Q206 Judge Ebony Harrison

CBSR Parliamentary Debate Ballot

Resolution: this house would rather move east than west.
(east rehab + west retribution)

Proposition code MJS

Opposition code C.S.M

Prime Minister / 1 st Pro	Speaker points 0
name: <u>Anthony Serrano</u>	<u>29</u>
Member of Government / 2 nd Pro	
name: <u>Mario Jimenez</u>	<u>28</u>

Leader of Opposition / 1 st Opp	Speaker points 0
name: <u>Marc Manson</u>	<u>26</u>
Member of Opposition / 2 nd Opp	
name: <u>Juan Sanchez</u>	<u>27</u>

Rate each speaker from 20 – 30 points: 20-23 Below average 24-26 Average 27-28 Above average 29-30 Outstanding

The winning team was the ☒ Prop ☐ Opp Code: MJS

Comments to debaters:

Spk 1: Great volume + flow but enunciate more.
Questions were very good.
Cleared up both arguments very well.
stated that opp had no stats but didn't really use stats either.
Spk 2: Nice roadmap
Flows very well
Good questions
Explained + Clarified arguments very well.

Comments to debaters:

Spk 1: 8th is cruel + unusual punishment
6th is trial by jury of peers. (had it flipped)
Irrelevant + wasting time? A bit rude.
Try not to interrupt speaker with "Whenever you are ready"
Spk 2: Flows very well, speaks very comfortably.
Epiphany argument seems a little on the pro side.
Appeals argument was pretty good.
"What if they have life sentence?" Also good but failed to extend + explain impact of these arguments.

Reason for Decision: (please cite specific arguments that had a bearing on the outcome)	Order/Time of Speeches
OPP failed to address C3 (Rehab helps fut + youth b/c juve rehab is gang violence.).	Prop constructive 7 min
Very good point that it is illegal for a C.O to allow violence in prison. Only applied cost benefit to C1 + ^{not} to the whole argument. Pro won b/c proved throughout case that rehab is more beneficial to society. P.S the topic was very fair + debatable. It is actually the LD topic.	Opp constructive 7 min
	Prop 7 min
	Opp 7 min
	Opp rebuttal 5 min
	Prop rebuttal 5 min
	(There is no prep time in Parli)

Please sign your ballot to certify your decision Ebony S. Harrison
Signature

School affiliation AMS

Round 2 Flt _____ Room Q204 Judge Scott Boydston

CBSR **Parliamentary** Debate Ballot

Resolution:

Proposition code M · FS

Opposition code A · MB

Prime Minister / 1 st Pro	Speaker points
name: <u>LAURA FLORES</u>	<u>27</u>
Member of Government / 2 nd Pro	
name: <u>RAMI SHAHATI</u>	<u>28</u>

Leader of Opposition / 1 st Opp	Speaker points
name: <u>ANDREW BROWN</u>	<u>27</u>
Member of Opposition / 2 nd Opp	
name: <u>SHARON MUSA</u>	<u>28</u>

Rate each speaker from 20 – 30 points: 20-23 Below average 24-26 Average 27-28 Above average 29-30 Outstanding

The winning team was the ☐ Prop ☒ Opp Code: _____

Comments to debaters:
JUSTICE SYSTEM SHOULD HAVE REHAB OVER RETRIBUTION -
GOOD ARGUMENTS MADE
60% REARREST WITH ONLY PUNISHMENT - DRUG ADDICTS NEED HELP TO OVERCOME ADDICTION ETC. RAMI
GOOD SPEAKER - NICE
CLEAR NOT TOO FAST - LAURA
GOOD EYE CONTACT CLEAR.

Comments to debaters:
GOOD ARGUMENT THAT JUSTICE DEPT. ENFORCES LAW APPLIES PUNISHMENT BUT DOES NOT DISREGARD REHAB. PUNISHMENT IS FT HOWEVER. ENDS DO NOT JUSTIFY MEANS - ANDREW GOOD EYE CONTACT - A LITTLE TOO FAST BUT CLEAR -
SHARON - NICE EYE CONTACT CONFIDENT CLEAR

Reason for Decision: (please cite specific arguments that had a bearing on the outcome)
VERY CLOSE TO JUDGE - A LITTLE HARD TO FOLLOW LAURA AT TIMES - OPP. STATED THAT JUSTICE DEPT. JOB TO UPHOLD LAW + PUNISHMENT W/O DISREGARDING REHAB.

Order/Time of Speeches	
Prop constructive	7 min
Opp constructive	7 min
Prop rebuttal	7 min
Opp rebuttal	7 min
Prop rebuttal	5 min
Opp rebuttal	5 min
(There is no prep time in Parl)	

Please sign your ballot to certify your decision

J Scott Boydston
Signature

School affiliation _____

Round 2 Flt _____ Room Q205 Judge Jonathan Posader

CBSR Parliamentary Debate Ballot

Resolution:

Proposition
code

A - NM

Opposition
code

M - WS

Speaker
points
6

Speaker
points
6

Prime Minister / 1st Pro

name: Annie Nguyen

29

Leader of Opposition / 1st Opp

name: Amir Shaghatit

29

Member of Government / 2nd Pro

name: Hannah Mathieson

29

Member of Opposition / 2nd Opp

name: Faith Winston

28

Rate each speaker from 20 – 30 points: 20-23 Below average 24-26 Average 27-28 Above average 29-30 Outstanding

The winning team was the



Prop



Opp

Code:

A - NM

Comments to debaters:

Annie and Hannah - Both of you, at first sight, seem like quiet ~~and~~ and friendly girls, but once you start talking, both of you change completely. Voices, loud, powerful and clear. The way you speak shows you have confidence in yourselves. very well done.

Comments to debaters:

Amir - You started out a little rocky, stuttered and spoke a ~~strong~~ ~~some~~ quiet voice. But second time around, you really really showed who you really are well done.

Faith - Well done, you spoke clearly, but not with much passion. Show confidence, and ~~grow~~ when you speak. Either way, well done.

Reason for Decision: (please cite specific arguments that had a bearing on the outcome)

The Prop. won because the ~~def~~ defs that they brought were arguable, and the opp. ~~strong~~ did not need to change.

Order/Time of Speeches

Prop constructive	7 min
Opp constructive	7 min
Prop	7 min
Opp	7 min
Opp rebuttal	5 min
Prop rebuttal	5 min

(There is no prep time in Parl)

Please sign your ballot to certify your decision

Signature

School affiliation

Carter

Round 2 Flt _____ Room Q 208 Judge Charles Whims

CBSR Parliamentary Debate Ballot

Resolution:

Proposition code M · OL

Opposition code E · AB

Speaker points 8

Prime Minister / 1 st Pro name: <u>Sarah Osuna</u>	29
Member of Government / 2 nd Pro name: <u>Alexis Lee</u>	28

Speaker points 8

Leader of Opposition / 1 st Opp name: <u>Amanda Hardy</u>	27
Member of Opposition / 2 nd Opp name: <u>Emily Bondetani</u>	27

Rate each speaker from 20 – 30 points: 20-23 Below average 24-26 Average 27-28 Above average 29-30 Outstanding

The winning team was the ☒ Prop ☐ Opp Code: M · OL

Comments to debaters: Confidence
 US Govt - House Good questions
 Refine camp in Syria
 natl. team.
 Boot for most
 condition bad out of conflict
 30,000 killed per year.
 People want to be free.
 Stop & supplies - help free themselves
 UN subsidize
 Iraq. Train force.
 Stop subsidized how to use
 weapon - return to Syria
 Troop Extended. need to redeploy.
 Benefit most - refugees. Troops. Citizens

Comments to debaters: Relax
 Neg. Condition Bad: Iraq not as
 stable. Syrian most allow.
 May see us as opposition
 Finish what we started
 Safer place.
 Stop rule. good jo
 Not our job.
 Logic benefit all
 our people.
 Camp Don't = govt
 Set up a threat -
 visit US
 Problem
 or UN
 for refuse
 camps
 stable in
 ourselves?

Reason for Decision: (please cite specific arguments that had a bearing on the outcome)

The last out a good argument for the camps and that the job in Iraq is almost complete.

Order/Time of Speeches	
Prop constructive	7 min
Opp constructive	7 min
Prop rebuttal	7 min
Opp rebuttal	7 min
Prop rebuttal	5 min
Opp rebuttal	5 min
(There is no prep time in Parli)	

Please sign your ballot to certify your decision Charles Whims
 Signature
 School affiliation Chace Mountain HS