

Round 4 Flt _____ Room Q201 Judge Carole / Richard (Sr.)

CBSR **Parliamentary** Debate Ballot

Resolution:

Proposition
code

BVM

Opposition
code

ANM

Speaker
points
0

Speaker
points
0

Prime Minister / 1st Pro

name: Ken Mann

27

Leader of Opposition / 1st Opp

name: Hannah Mathieson

28

Member of Government / 2nd Pro

name: Clovis Vinant-Tang

28

Member of Opposition / 2nd Opp

name: Annie Nguyen

27

Rate each speaker from 20 – 30 points: 20-23 Below average 24-26 Average 27-28 Above average 29-30 Outstanding

The winning team was the ☐ Prop ☒ Opp

Code: ANM

Comments to debaters:

Clovis was very engaged w/ the debate topic. Courteous of his opponents. Very knowledgeable on his topic.

Ken was very courteous to his opponents. Bringing out the "weighing mechanism" component was a key point.

Comments to debaters:

Hannah was the most impressive participant that we witnessed today. Facial, vocal tones, passionate about the topic. Demanded to be heard + not ignored.

Annie carried herself very well. Very knowledgeable about the assigned topic. Very confident + it was obvious that she was well-prepared.

Reason for Decision: (please cite specific arguments that had a bearing on the outcome)

Not enough evidence that the UN was responsible regarding the weighing mechanism. No way for them to prevent genocide unless attacked upon.

Order/Time of Speeches

Prop constructive 7 min
Opp constructive 7 min
Prop 7 min
Opp 7 min
Opp rebuttal 5 min
Prop rebuttal 5 min

(There is no prep time in Parl)

Please sign your ballot to certify your decision

Signature

School affiliation

YHS

Round 4 Flt _____ Room A208 Judge Anthony Baca

CBSR Parliamentary Debate Ballot

Resolution:

Proposition code	<u>MPB</u>	Opposition code	<u>ACS</u>
------------------	------------	-----------------	------------

		Speaker points 6			Speaker points 6
Prime Minister / 1 st Pro			Leader of Opposition / 1 st Opp		
name:	<u>Loren Bassil</u>	<u>28</u>	name:	<u>Jean Sabety</u>	<u>25</u>
Member of Government / 2 nd Pro			Member of Opposition / 2 nd Opp		
name:	<u>Ayetzy Presa</u>	<u>27</u>	name:	<u>Alli Cardenas</u>	<u>26</u>

Rate each speaker from 20 – 30 points: 20-23 Below average 24-26 Average 27-28 Above average 29-30 Outstanding

The winning team was the ☒ Prop ☐ Opp Code:

Comments to debaters:

Loren Bassil - Good Speaking Skills, Good Eye Contact, Good job on supporting the argument that Media influences our society promoting teen violence and pregnancy (Peer Pressure).

Ayetzy Presa - Good Speaking Skills, Good Eye Contact, Good job on supporting the argument that Media shows glorify violence and Teen pregnancy.

Comments to debaters:

Jean Sabety - Good eye contact, Good tone and speaking voice. I don't like to give negative criticism but on some points contradicted yourself.

Alli Cardenas - Good speaking skills, Good Eye Contact, Made some good rebuttal responses.

Reason for Decision: (please cite specific arguments that had a bearing on the outcome)

The (Pro) Team did a better job of supporting their side of the argument and had excellent speaking skills.

Order/Time of Speeches

Prop constructive	7 min
Opp constructive	7 min
Prop rebuttal	7 min
Opp rebuttal	7 min
Prop rebuttal	5 min
Opp rebuttal	5 min

(There is no prep time in Parli)

Please sign your ballot to certify your decision

Anthony Baca
Signature
School affiliation Carter High School

Round 4 Flt _____ Room Q209 Judge Ebony Harrison

CBSR **Parliamentary** Debate Ballot

Resolution: This house would hold the media responsible

Proposition code CMO

Opposition code EBP MWS

	Speaker points 8
Prime Minister / 1 st Pro name: <u>Matt Manson</u>	<u>28</u>
Member of Government / 2 nd Pro name: <u>Gideon Odeh</u>	<u>27</u>

	Speaker points 8
Leader of Opposition / 1 st Opp name: <u>Faith Winston</u>	<u>27</u>
Member of Opposition / 2 nd Opp name: <u>Amar Shahbatt</u>	<u>26</u>

Rate each speaker from 20 – 30 points: 20-23 Below average 24-26 Average 27-28 Above average 29-30 Outstanding

The winning team was the ☒ Prop ☐ Opp Code: _____

Comments to debaters:	Comments to debaters:
<u>Spk 1</u> <u>Spk 2</u> <u>Why are you arguing nge?</u>	<u>Spk 1: Thank 4 roadmap</u> <u>Spk 2: Thank 4 roadmap</u> <u>Why are you arguing the AFP?</u>

Reason for Decision: (please cite specific arguments that had a bearing on the outcome) <u>It was really weird that both teams switched sides Prop should have caught that. Despite this, Prop spoke better & proved that it is on the individual to protect themselves from internet dangers & therefore the media is not held responsible</u>	Order/Time of Speeches <table border="1"><tbody><tr><td>Prop constructive</td><td>7 min</td></tr><tr><td>Opp constructive</td><td>7 min</td></tr><tr><td>Prop rebuttal</td><td>7 min</td></tr><tr><td>Opp rebuttal</td><td>7 min</td></tr><tr><td>Prop rebuttal</td><td>5 min</td></tr><tr><td>Opp rebuttal</td><td>5 min</td></tr></tbody></table> (There is no prep time in Parl)	Prop constructive	7 min	Opp constructive	7 min	Prop rebuttal	7 min	Opp rebuttal	7 min	Prop rebuttal	5 min	Opp rebuttal	5 min
Prop constructive	7 min												
Opp constructive	7 min												
Prop rebuttal	7 min												
Opp rebuttal	7 min												
Prop rebuttal	5 min												
Opp rebuttal	5 min												

Please sign your ballot to certify your decision Ebony S. Harrison
Signature

School affiliation HHS

Round 4 Flt _____ Room Q207 Judge G. Gutierrez

CBSR Parliamentary Debate Ballot

Resolution:

Proposition
code

MOL

Opposition
code

ARH

Prime Minister / 1st Pro

name: Sarah O'Kenna

Speaker
points
0

28

Member of Government / 2nd Pro

name: Alexis Lee

28

Leader of Opposition / 1st Opp

name: Alex Han

Speaker
points
0

28

Member of Opposition / 2nd Opp

name: Daniel Reddy

27

Rate each speaker from 20 – 30 points: 20-23 Below average 24-26 Average 27-28 Above average 29-30 Outstanding

The winning team was the ☒ Prop ☐ Opp

Code: MOL

Comments to debaters:

Parents' moves - bad contact for children.

- Disruptive - Disruptive

U.D.A. - rap music - women treatment

- OK to act a certain way

Good posture and speaker

been pregnant -

Good flow - but we know you to

Good question in intro - now

Good voice in intro - now

address what was said by

negation - hit his points.

Don't ask a question to

audience unless you answer it

immediately.

Keep on with questions to

through opp off

Comments to debaters:

Address the contentions

Tell us what you think the

affirmative is about

Stats - good - but is it possible

to find some

Good speaker and presence

Great Response

Ask question don't just make a

statement. "Did you know..."

Careful with the "ults" -

Confidence with speaking came

later.

They're "infamous"? "improved"

what does this mean.

Reason for Decision: (please cite specific arguments that had a bearing on the outcome)

VERY VERY POSITIVE

Arguments with both strong

questions by Prop little better

speaking better by Opp overall

positive

Att good rebuttal

confident

S.O. also good

rebuttal - egly

and confident

Order/Time of Speeches

~~Prop constructive 7 min~~

~~Opp constructive 7 min~~

~~Prop 7 min~~

~~Opp 7 min~~

Opp rebuttal 5 min

Prop rebuttal 5 min

(There is no prep time in Parl)

Please sign your ballot to certify your decision

Signature

School affiliation

LCQHS

Round 4 Flt _____ Room Q206 Judge Edward Marve

Kevin Reid

CBSR Parliamentary Debate Ballot

Resolution:

Proposition code MFS

Opposition code EAB

Speaker points	
Prime Minister / 1 st Pro	
name: <u>LAURA FLORES</u>	<u>30</u>
Member of Government / 2 nd Pro	
name: <u>RANTI SHAHATIT</u>	<u>29</u>

Speaker points	
Leader of Opposition / 1 st Opp	
name: <u>AMANDA HANDY</u>	<u>28</u>
Member of Opposition / 2 nd Opp	
name: <u>EMILY BOYDSTON</u>	<u>30</u>

Rate each speaker from 20 – 30 points: 20-23 Below average 24-26 Average 27-28 Above average 29-30 Outstanding

The winning team was the ☒ Prop ☐ Opp Code:

Comments to debaters:

L-FLORES, EXCELLENT
FACTS AND PRESENTATION.
"Super Job"

Comments to debaters:

A-HANDY PRESENTED SOME
GOOD POINTS

E-BOYDSTON PRESENTED
CLEAR & CONSISE POINTS

Reason for Decision: (please cite specific arguments that had a bearing on the outcome)

BOTH SIDES PRESENTED OUTSTANDING
POINTS

Order/Time of Speeches

Prop constructive	7 min
Opp constructive	7 min
Prop rebuttal	7 min
Opp rebuttal	7 min
Prop rebuttal	5 min
Opp rebuttal	5 min

(There is no prep time in Parl)

Please sign your ballot to certify your decision

Signature

School affiliation

HEUSTAGE

Round 4 Flt _____ Room Q205 Judge Diana McGraw

CBSR Parliamentary Debate Ballot

Resolution:

Proposition code CSM

Opposition code Issues EBP

		Speaker points 0			Speaker points 0
Prime Minister / 1 st Pro			Leader of Opposition / 1 st Opp		
name: <u>Evon Sanchez</u>	<u>28</u>		name: <u>Softank Bignall</u>	<u>26</u>	
Member of Government / 2 nd Pro			Member of Opposition / 2 nd Opp		
name: <u>Marc Marsaur</u>	<u>28</u>		name: <u>Madison Park</u>	<u>27</u>	

Rate each speaker from 20 – 30 points: 20-23 Below average 24-26 Average 27-28 Above average 29-30 Outstanding

The winning team was the ☒ Prop ☐ Opp Code: CSM

Comments to debaters:	Comments to debaters:
<u>J- Media - Drugs 28 pts</u> <u>Sex</u> <u>Rock + Roll, Religion</u> <u>M-????</u> <u>M-Media Responsible</u> <u>w/ Higher Taxes 28 pts</u> <u>J-?</u> <u>M-? J-? M-? M-? M-?</u>	<u>S-Tax-promoters &</u> <u>Home ed.</u> <u>26 pts</u> <u>See results, free counseling.</u> <u>M?</u> <u>S-?</u> <u>M-????</u> <u>S?</u> <u>M-Excell. Rebuttal 28 pts</u> <u>S-Unable to explain 25 pts</u> <u>S-Counseling w/ Tax 23 pts</u>

Reason for Decision: (please cite specific arguments that had a bearing on the outcome)

Order/Time of Speeches

Prop constructive 7 min
Opp constructive 7 min
Prop 7 min
Opp 7 min
Opp rebuttal 5 min
Prop rebuttal 5 min

(There is no prep time in Parl)

Please sign your ballot to certify your decision

Signature

School affiliation _____

Round 4 Flt _____ Room 2204 Judge Norma Remy

CBSR **Parliamentary** Debate Ballot

Resolution:

Proposition code	<u>CVF</u>	Opposition code	<u>EBW</u>
Speaker points 3		Speaker points 3	
Prime Minister / 1 st Pro name: <u>Liberty Feliciano</u>	<u>28</u>	Leader of Opposition / 1 st Opp name: <u>Liberty Pilar Barr</u>	<u>27</u>
Member of Government / 2 nd Pro name: <u>Ivonne Verduzza</u>	<u>27</u>	Member of Opposition / 2 nd Opp name: <u>Serina Williams</u>	<u>27</u>

Rate each speaker from 20 – 30 points: 20-23 Below average 24-26 Average 27-28 Above average 29-30 Outstanding

The winning team was the ☐ Prop ☒ Opp Code: E.BW

Comments to debaters: <u>• well done. very strong points</u>	Comments to debaters:
---	-----------------------

Reason for Decision: (please cite specific arguments that had a bearing on the outcome) <u>• Strong, well backed up points. stood their ground. made point across. they proved their point.</u>	Order/Time of Speeches Prop constructive 7 min Opp constructive 7 min Prop 7 min Opp 7 min Opp rebuttal 5 min Prop rebuttal 5 min (There is no prep time in Parli)
--	---

Please sign your ballot to certify your decision _____
Signature
School affiliation Carter High School

Round 4 Flt _____ Room 2202 Judge Natalie Barajas

CBSR Parliamentary Debate Ballot

Resolution:

Proposition code EWS

Opposition code AMB

Prime Minister / 1 st Pro	Speaker points
name: <u>Cody Webb</u>	<u>26</u>
Member of Government / 2 nd Pro	
name: <u>William Strong</u>	<u>26</u>

Leader of Opposition / 1 st Opp	Speaker points
name: <u>Sharon Musa</u>	<u>27</u>
Member of Opposition / 2 nd Opp	
name: <u>Andrew Brown</u>	<u>28</u>

Rate each speaker from 20 – 30 points: 20-23 Below average 24-26 Average 27-28 Above average 29-30 Outstanding

The winning team was the ☐ Prop ☒ Opp Code: _____

Comments to debaters:

Make sure to state your point clearly so your opp. can understand.

Comments to debaters:

You made ^{an} excellent argument.

Reason for Decision: (please cite specific arguments that had a bearing on the outcome)


Their argument made sense.

Order/Time of Speeches

Prop constructive 7 min
Opp constructive 7 min
Prop 7 min
Opp 7 min
Opp rebuttal 5 min
Prop rebuttal 5 min

(There is no prep time in Parli)

Please sign your ballot to certify your decision



Signature

School affiliation Carter High School

Round 4 Flt _____ Room Q104 Judge Martin Bustos

CBSR Parliamentary Debate Ballot

Resolution:

Proposition code AWC

Opposition code AGL

		Speaker points 8			Speaker points 8
Prime Minister / 1 st Pro			Leader of Opposition / 1 st Opp		
name: <u>Jahmal Curran</u>	<u>28</u>		name: <u>Andrew Lopez</u>	<u>27</u>	
Member of Government / 2 nd Pro			Member of Opposition / 2 nd Opp		
name: <u>Madison Vignard</u>	<u>28</u>		name: <u>Caum Greene</u>	<u>27</u>	

Rate each speaker from 20 – 30 points: 20-23 Below average 24-26 Average 27-28 Above average 29-30 Outstanding

The winning team was the ☒ Prop ☐ Opp Code: AWC

Comments to debaters: - great eye contact - Good hand signs - Good job following the flow - Watch the Hitler and Nazi argument	Comments to debaters: - try to put the paper down - Stuttering a tad - try not to add off case so close to the end of 1st speech - Just need to prove the aff wrong - Watch your hands
---	---

Reason for Decision: (please cite specific arguments that had a bearing on the outcome)

The Plan vs Counter Plan is a wash. The aff made me feel that Justice was obstructed. Also being biased & lying. The aff proved to me

that there are lies and Justice is being obstructed.

Please sign your ballot to certify your decision Martin Bustos

Signature

School affiliation La Quinta

Order/Time of Speeches

Prop constructive	7 min
Opp constructive	7 min
Prop rebuttal	7 min
Opp rebuttal	7 min
Prop rebuttal	5 min
Opp rebuttal	5 min

(There is no prep time in Parli)